The City Council met in Work Session on Tuesday, October 5, 2010, at 3:00 p.m. in Room 326, City Council Office, City County Building, 451 South State Street.

In Attendance: Council Members Carlton Christensen, Stan Penfold, Søren Simonsen, JT Martin, Luke Garrott, Jill Remington Love and Van Turner.

Also In Attendance: Cindy Gust-Jenson, Executive Council Director; Sharen Hauri, Open Space Lands Advisory Board Vice Chair; Edwin Rutan, City Attorney; Neil Lindberg, City Council Legal Director; Jan Aramaki, Council Constituent Liaison/Research and Policy Analyst; Quin Card, Council Policy Analyst/Constituent Liaison; Lehua Weaver, Council Research and Policy Analyst; Dr. Ray Mundy, Council Consultant; Larry Bowers, Airport Landside Operations Manager; Russell Weeks, Council Policy Analyst; Bill Nighswonger, Housing Authority Executive Director; and Beverly Jones, Deputy City Recorder.

Councilmember Martin presided at and conducted the meeting.

The meeting was called to order at 3:14 p.m.

#### AGENDA ITEMS

#1. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, INCLUDING A REVIEW OF COUNCIL INFORMATION ITEMS AND 3:14:39 PM ANNOUNCEMENTS.

No report was given. See File M 10-5 for announcements.

#2. <u>3:25:44 PM</u> RECEIVE A FOLLOW-UP BRIEFING REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO ORDINANCES RELATING TO GROUND TRANSPORTATION AND TAXICAB SERVICES IN SALT LAKE CITY. View Attachments

Dr. Ray Mundy, Larry Bowers and Russell Weeks briefed the Council from the attached handouts. Councilmember Martin asked for a straw poll on whether the Council wanted to vote on the list tonight. All Council Members were in favor of straw polling the list.

Councilmember Martin asked for a straw poll on whether the Council should be able to ask questions of the industry. Council Members were not in favor of asking questions of the industry. Councilmember Love was in favor of allowing Councilmember Simonsen to ask questions of the industry.

A discussion was held on Item 2 - taxicab limits and making the current authorization of 268 taxicabs the ceiling instead of making 200 taxicabs the floor of any proposal.

10 - 1

A straw poll was taken on holding taxicabs to 200. Councilmember Christensen was in favor and Council Members Turner, Penfold, Love, Garrott and Simonsen were not in favor.

A straw poll was taken on holding taxicabs to 268. Council Members Turner, Garrott and Simonsen were in favor and Council Members Love, Penfold and Martin were not in favor.

A straw poll was taken on holding taxicabs to 250. Council Members Christensen, Penfold and Love were in favor and Council Members Garrott, Turner and Martin were not in favor. Councilmember Simonsen said he was in favor only if the Council could come back and review the issue through a Ground Transportation Advisory Board.

A straw poll was taken on holding taxicabs to 234. Council Members Christensen and Love were in favor and Council Members Garrott, Simonsen, Turner and Penfold were not in favor.

A straw poll was taken on holding taxicabs between 200 and 268 and see what the Request for Proposals came in at. Council Members Christensen, Turner, Penfold, Love, Garrott and Martin were in favor and Councilmember Simonsen was not in favor.

# <u>4:30:07 PM</u> A discussion was held on Item 3, vehicle Age - extend current vehicle age ordinance for taxicabs to most ground transportation vehicles instead of the five-year age limit.

A straw poll was taken on each vehicle having 350,000 miles or six years but giving the inspectors the flexibility to add a seventh year if the vehicle met the standard of cleanliness and repair and did not have 350,000 miles. Council Members Christensen, Turner, Penfold, Love and Martin were in favor and Council Members Garrott and Simonsen were not in favor.

4:59:50 PM A discussion was held on Item 4, hotel vehicles retain the current ordinance for ground transportation destinations. A straw poll was taken on "three or more" passengers or "four or more" passengers. Council Members were in favor of four or more.

A straw poll was taken on regarding the Council preferring to stay with the rest of the existing ordinance but allow only prearranged service and a \$30 minimum charge for limousines. Hotel vehicles could provide on demand service only to and from any railroad station, bus station, airport or similar terminal of public transportation. Council Members Simonsen, Martin, Turner, Christensen, Love and Turner were in favor of a \$30 minimum on

prearranged limousines. Councilmember Garrott wanted more information from the hotel/motels.

5:31:57 PM A discussion was held on Item-5, enforcement - include enforcement costs in the calculation of business license fees for all ground transportation companies. A straw poll was taken on whether the Council supported that enforcement needed to be funded and how it would be done would be determined. All Council Members were in favor.

5:39:18 PM Councilmember Martin suggested that Item 6, proof of contract for hotel vehicles - require the proof of contract in each hotel vehicle. Proof must be in vehicle and subject to inspection; Item 7, proximity of taxi stands to hotels and public facilities including University of Utah; and Item 10 - taxis as primary ground transportation source be combined and a straw poll be taken that the Council supported them.

Councilmember Christensen suggested that the Council straw poll Items 6 and 10 and recognize that Item 7 was going to need more studying and in the interim remain with the Administration. Council Members were in favor of Items 6 and 10.

5:45:11 PM A discussion was held on Item 8, USDOT regulation exempt buses and drivers from City regulation - except for background checks because USDOT background checks were not as stringent. Council Members wanted to come back to this issue.

5:53:38 PM A discussion was held on Item 9, Transportation Committee. A straw poll was taken. Council Members were in favor of forming a Transportation Committee.

<u>6:06:17 PM</u> A discussion was held on Item 11, requirements for Americans with Disabilities Act - will be met regardless of the form of regulation. Councilmember Martin said a straw poll was not needed because Council Members supported ADA.

<u>6:15:49 PM</u> A discussion was held on Item 12, vehicle inspections for new cars. All Council Members were in favor.

<u>6:17:09 PM</u> A discussion was held on Item 13, annual driver application renewal - require the renewal every two years. Council Members were in favor.

Councilmember Sorensen asked if the Council would be scheduling another public hearing with the changes. Councilmember Martin said the Council had agreed to another public hearing. He said the ordinances would be forwarded for consideration on September 19, 2010.

#3. <u>8:18:48 PM</u> RECEIVE A FOLLOW-UP BRIEFING AND CONTINUE A DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PARLEY'S HISTORIC NATURE PARK COMPREHENSIVE USE AND MANAGEMENT PLAN PROPOSAL. View Attachments

Jan Aramaki, Quin Card, Lehua Weaver, Ed Rutan, Sharen Hauri and Neil Lindberg briefed the Council with a markable map. A straw poll was taken on whether the Council wanted to offer the park to Salt Lake County. Council Members Christensen and Love were in favor and Council Members Turner, Simonsen, Penfold, Garrott and Martin were not.

<u>9:07:34 PM</u> Neil Lindberg briefed the Council on the procedure to annex the park into Salt Lake City. Councilmember Love suggested rather than the Council forming an exploratory committee to work on annexation that they ask the Administration to take the necessary steps toward annexation. She said the Administration could get back to the Council as they went through the procedure.

9:24:49 PM Straw Polls:

Does any Councilmember want to adopt the plan that had been given to the Council by the Administration without change. Council Members were not in favor.

Do Council Members want to continue on with the process if they were not able to annex the property. Council Members wanted to continue with the process.

Do Council Members have an interest in protecting the water sources, the riparian corridor by creating barriers or boundaries so that the entire corridor was not open. Council Members were in favor of protecting the corridor.

Do Council Members want to protect the riparian corridor by restricting access. Council Members were in favor.

Do Council Members support the nine goals in the management plan. All Council Members were in favor of the goals except Councilmember Simonsen who was not in favor.

Do Council Members want to have two or three permanent access points that were not rotating. Council Members were in favor.

Council Members Love, Simonsen and Martin were interested in protecting the corridor and not allowing any additional access points along that corridor. Councilmember Martin said the Council would come back to this item.

#4. RECEIVE A BRIEFING AMENDING SECTION 12.56.170, SALT LAKE CITY CODE, RELATING TO PARKING METER RATES, TO WAIVE FEES AT PARKING METERS DURING THE HOLIDAY SEASON (THE PERIOD OF NOVEMBER 25, 2010 THROUGH DECEMBER 26, 2010). View Attachments

See Regular Council meeting minutes for October 5, 2010 for discussion.

#5. <u>6:21:19 PM</u> INTERVIEW JENNIFER BRUNO PRIOR TO CONSIDERATION OF HER APPOINTMENT TO THE SALT LAKE CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY ADVISORY BOARD.

Councilmember Martin said Ms. Bruno's name would be forwarded to the Consent Agenda for final approval.

#6. RECEIVE A BRIEFING REGARDING AN ORDINANCE ENACTING A NEW CHAPTER 14.54, SALT LAKE CITY CODE, RELATING TO THE POLICY FOR THE DEDICATION OF PRIVATE STREETS TO PUBLIC OWNERSHIP.

This item was not held.

#7. THE COUNCIL WILL CONSIDER A MOTION TO ENTER INTO CLOSED SESSION, IN KEEPING WITH UTAH CODE § 52-4-204, FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

a) A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS COLLECTIVE BARGAINING PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE § 52-4-205 (1) (b);

b) A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY (INCLUDING ANY FORM OF WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES) WHEN PUBLIC DISCUSSION OF THE TRANSACTION WOULD DISCLOSE THE APPRAISAL OR ESTIMATED VALUE OF THE PROPERTY UNDER CONSIDERATION OR PREVENT THE CITY FROM COMPLETING THE TRANSACTION ON THE BEST POSSIBLE TERMS PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE § 52-4-205(1)(d);

c) A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE § 52-4-205(1)(c);

d) A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE SALE OF REAL PROPERTY (INCLUDING ANY FORM OF WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES) IF (1) PUBLIC DISCUSSION OF THE TRANSACTION WOULD DISCLOSE THE APPRAISAL OR ESTIMATED VALUE OF THE PROPERTY UNDER CONSIDERATION OR PREVENT THE CITY FROM COMPLETING THE TRANSACTION ON THE BEST POSSIBLE TERMS, (2) THE CITY PREVIOUSLY GAVE NOTICE THAT THE PROPERTY WOULD BE OFFERED FOR SALE, AND (3) THE TERMS OF THE SALE ARE PUBLICLY DISCLOSED BEFORE THE CITY APPROVES THE SALE; AND

e) FOR ATTORNEY-CLIENT MATTERS THAT ARE PRIVILEGED PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE § 78B-1-137.

This item was not held.

The meeting adjourned at 10:06 p.m.

COUNCIL CHAIR

CITY RECORDER

This document along with the digital recording constitute the official minutes of the City Council Work Session meeting held October 5, 2010.

bj