### SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

### BUDGET AMENDMENT #4 - FISCAL YEAR 2009-10

**DATE:** May 4, 2010

**SUBJECT:** Budget Amendment #4

**STAFF REPORT BY:** Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver, Karen Halladay and Jennifer Bruno

**CC:** David Everitt, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, LuAnn

Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Kurt Cook, Rick Graham, Jeff Niermeyer, Susi Kontgis, Kay Christensen, Randy Hillier, Shannon

Ashby, and Sherrie Collins,

Budget Amendment Number Four contains 31 adjustments as proposed by the Administration. There are 2 initiatives affecting the general fund for a total increase of \$48,000.

Most of the grant related items will not have a write-up because of the Council's review earlier in the process (through announcements and/or the consent agenda). However, there are a few that staff would like to bring to your attention, because of potential interest to the Council:

- Item B-2, US Dept of Education Grant to the Salt Lake City School District, Subgranted to YouthCity Artways (\$81,930 to split between FY 2010 & 2011).
- Item E-1, Police In-Car Technology Grant (awarded by UT State Police) to improve efficiency of issuing moving violations and processing fines. Grant will pay for program in 285 cars. Estimated to save 6,100 hours of employee time annually.
- Item E-7, Historic Preservation Grant (awarded by the State of Utah) \$40,700 to begin reconnaissance-level survey of Liberty Wells and Westmoreland Neighborhoods, and to provide an inventory and document the histories of Liberty Park, Memory Grove Park, Fairmont Park, and Reservoir Park. \$40,700 match to be provided by Planning Division budget (using existing staff time).

The Council requests a current-year revenue forecast with each budget amendment. **Overall the Administration is forecasting that revenues could be another \$1.6 million lower than budgeted**. The Administration indicates that Property Tax revenue has declined due to the judgment the City received on the re-calculation for the value of taxes paid by airlines. This results in a decrease in property tax revenue of \$912,265.

• The City is able to recoup this loss in the next budget year by the process of a "Judgment Levy" for the FY 2011 budget (this is effectively a one-time, one-year property tax increase to recoup these lost funds). The Council can forgo this option, and accept the loss in revenue.

Sales tax revenues also continue to decline (\$270,537). License and Permit revenue are also showing a decline. The Administration indicates that although commercial and

residential permits are higher as compared to last year, the value of the permits and licenses are significantly lower. Franchise Tax revenue is higher than projected by \$245,000; however, Interest Income is lower by \$318,689. Fines and Forfeitures revenue is lower than projected by \$217,577 due to a lower number of parking tickets being issued. Parking meter revenue is higher than expected by \$555,873 due to fees charged for bagged meters generally associated with construction. Miscellaneous revenue is also higher than projected by \$246,726.

➤ The Administration has indicated to Council Staff that despite the additional \$1.6 million drop in revenue, the City will still finish the year balanced because the Council did not appropriate the "new growth" that was allowed by the County in FY 2010. In addition the City received a one-time settlement relating to a disputed amount with an outside company. These two sources will allow the City to finish the year in a balanced state.

### **Key Elements**

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, the Administration has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows:

- A. "New" those items that are new issues.
- B. "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Employees involved with these projects may have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)
- C. "Grant requiring additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.
- D. "Housekeeping" -- those items classified by the Administration as strictly accounting actions that do not have policy implications.
- E. "Grants requiring No New Staff Resources" those grants that provide funding for costs that are not associated with positions.
- F. "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.
- G. "Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards" These items have been previously approved on the Council's Consent Agenda.
- H. "Follow-up on Previously Approved Items" those items that were approved in a previous budget amendment but require some additional adjustments.
- I. "Council Added" items which have been added by the City Council.

# The Administration classified the following as: New Items:

A-1: Creation of Eight Deputy Chief positions in the Police Department (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing a reorganization of several administrative level positions within the Salt Lake City Police Department. Advantages of the reorganization include: 1) the involvement of more officers in the day-to-day decision making operations of the department; 2) flattening of the organization, or in other words, removal of one level of the traditional command structure; and 3) promotion opportunities for officers. Details of the proposed changes are as follows:

Responsibility	New Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the Police Chief	Old Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the Police Chief
Facilities Development	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Administration	Deputy Chief (004)	Lieutenant (pay grade 822)
Communication and Records	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Fusion	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Investigations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)
Management Services	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Patrol	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)
Special Operations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)

Note: ● This position is responsible for overseeing the Public Safety Building (PSB). The function was added during the reorganization without the addition of a new FTE.

Salary increases did occur with this reorganization. However, the Police Department eliminated a Sargeant position to offset the pay increase. These changes resulted in a net savings of \$14,000 for fiscal year 2010. This budget amendment does not reflect an expenditure budget increase. Lastly, the reorganization would allow the future elimination of two captain positions upon attrition. Future savings are estimated to be \$280,700 annually once the positions become vacant.

This budget amendment does not increase or decrease the fiscal year 2010 budget for the Police Department.

# A-2: Police Department Overtime Reimbursement (\$105,000 - Source: General Fund) The Police Department participates in Special Task Force activities and receives reimbursement for the overtime incurred while doing this work. Overtime costs and the expected reimbursement are estimated, included, and adopted during the annual budget

expected reimbursement are estimated, included, and adopted during the annual budge process. This budget amendment request is to adjust the revenue/reimbursement and expenditures projections for the actual overtime incurred and the reimbursement or revenues received in the amount of \$105,000.

# A-3: Request for increased allocation to the Donation Fund – Receipts and Interest (\$300,000 – Source: Donation Fund)

The Administration utilizes a "master budget" for donation receipts and related interest earned. This allows the Administration to have the necessary appropriation so that as donations or interest income are received, they can have quick access to the funds. The budget is generally kept at \$100,000 and restored as donations come in and the appropriation is spent down. In Budget Amendment #2 for FY 2010, the Council replenished the \$100,000 allocation for interest on existing and new donations. The appropriation has already been allocated to individual funds. The Administration indicates

an additional \$26,000 could have been allocated had it been available. The Administration is requesting that the Council replenish the \$100,000 and add an additional \$200,000 for a total allocation of \$300,000 to ensure adequate budget until the end of the fiscal year. The Administration's transmittal provides a list of how the appropriation was used in Budget Amendment #2.

A-4: E-911 Increase in Charges from Qwest (\$108,000 - Source: E-911 Fund)

The City pays Qwest a monthly service fee for the E-911 system. After a review, Qwest found that the fee needs to be increased from \$23,000 to \$32,000 per month. The transmittal notes that review is to be performed annually; however, it has been three years since Qwest's last audit of the costs to maintain the system. The ongoing increase in cost will be reflected in the Police Department's FY 2011 expense budget.

# A-5: Central Business Improvement Area Fiscal Year 2010 Revenue and Expense Budgets (\$53,903 – Source: Central Business Improvement Assessment Area)

This is an adjustment to the Central Business Improvement Area for Fiscal Year 2010. Expense budgets were under-estimated during the creation of the Fiscal Year 2010 budget for Downtown Alliance activities. The expense budget needs to increase to cover the full first quarterly payment to the Downtown Alliance (from \$157,127 to \$205,425) and cover a portion of the CED Management payment (\$5,605), for a total expenditure increase of \$53,903. The revenue budget (revenue from the BID) will also need to be increased in this amount to ensure that this fund finishes out FY 2010 in a balanced state.

### A-6: Changes to Mayor's Office Administrative Assistant position (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing to change an existing Administrative Assistant position to a newly created Policy Assistant to the Mayor. This position change will entail no change in pay grade or pay. This change is to adjust the job responsibilities to more accurately reflect the needs of the Mayor's Office.

# A-7: Electrical Vehicle Charging Stations – First Phase (Source: Public Services Budget)

The Administration requests approval to install electric vehicle (EV) charging units in 5 locations for public use. Each unit would be connected to an electrical supply currently metered for the City. The Administration estimates minimal electricity usage, and as such, the City will not charge a user fee at this time. The cost to purchase and install the charging units is estimated to be a total of \$12,400. The transmittal indicates the electricity costs would be covered with existing Facility's Division budget. The proposed site locations include:

- 1. Library Square parking garage (south wall west of boiler room)
- 2. Liberty Park (west side near tennis facility's pro shop)
- 3. Forest Dale Golf Course (north end of lot next to freeway right of way)
- 4. & 5. Two locations in the Downtown Central Business District (50 East 300 South, north side of street; and 135 South Main, east side)

Other locations could also be considered:

- 70 South State Street (east side)
- 240 South West Temple (west side)
- City & County Building (last stall, southeast corner of Washington Square)

Once the stations are installed, information will be added to the City's website to provide locations, instructions and additional information. The Administration indicates this is the first phase of the project. The Council may wish to ask with regards to the next steps or phases. Also, the transmittal indicates that the City will bear the cost of electricity usage at this time. The Council may wish to ask if there will be a trial period or whether the Administration intends to assume the electrical costs for the long-term.

### The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

# The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Additional Staff Resources

### C-1: FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant (\$969,638 - Source: Grant Fund)

The City is in the final stages of the grant application process for the SAFER grant. This budget request is contingent upon the City receiving the grant award, which the City may know for sure by the end of this fiscal year. If the City receives this grant, the Fire Department will be able to hire nine (9) firefighters for FY 2011. The grant award will pay for the salaries and benefits for these firefighters for a period of two years. As a condition of the grant award, the City must commit to keep these firefighters for a third year at the City's cost (estimated at \$500,620), and must certify that no other firefighters will be eliminated during the three year period.

- > The Council may wish to note that in the third year of the program (FY 2013), this will necessitate a base budget increase of \$500,620.
- ➤ The Council may also wish to note that between now and FY 2013, no firefighter positions may be eliminated. Depending on the City's revenues, this could mean that there will need to be disproportionate reductions in other City Departments.
- > The Police Department is currently receiving a COPS grant award for positions that has similar conditions.

# The Administration classified the following as: Housekeeping

# D-1: Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant (\$800,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item establishes a revenue and expense budget in the Fleet Fund to record the purchase of two Fire Apparatus vehicles that were funded in the City's Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant award. UASI is a program run by the State Division of Homeland Security. The grant (\$800,000) was awarded to the City's Emergency Management Division to allow for the purchase of Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solutions vehicles.

### D-2: CIP CDBG Recapture (\$189,000)

The Administration is requesting to recapture a total of \$189,000 of funding from budgets of two CDBG projects. Because of a very favorable bidding environment, Engineering was able to achieve a savings of \$109,000 on the Burbank Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Dr.), and \$80,000 on the Bell Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Rd.) As a reminder,

this particular CDBG funding is additional stimulus funding from HUD that the City received last year. Although this funding was awarded during the 2010-11 CDBG process, this is the accounting mechanism which allows the funding to be recaptured. The Council has already allocated these funds toward the Sidewalk Replacement Program in the FY 2011 CDBG process that was recently completed.

### D-3: CDBG Recapture

This item was removed by the Administration.

### D-4: CDBG Program Income

This item was removed by the Administration.

### D-5: Library Parking Garage Operations (\$153,000 - Source: General Fund)

As a result of the fiscal year 2009-10 budget process, the City was to assume the operations and management of the Library parking garage on July 1, 2009; however, at that time, the City had not determined whether to manage the parking garage or have a contractor manage it. The City has since hired a contractor. Each month the revenue collected pays the contractor's expenses. If revenues are more than expenses, a check is issued to the City by the contractor. This request will amend the budget to reflect this change.

# D-6: Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds (\$281,815 – Source: Interest Income)

Interest has been earned over the past year on the unspent bond proceeds of several sales tax revenue bonds which were used to finance City projects. The below table includes details and the interest earned on each project:

Bond Series	Project	Earı Bı	terest ned this udget Cycle
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	Grant Tower Rail	\$	8,197
Series 2007A	Reconfiguration		
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	TRAX Extension from Energy	\$	4,579
Series 2007A	Solutions Arena to		
	Intermodal Hub		
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	Public Services Maintenance	\$	178,015
Series 2009A	Facility		
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	Barnes Bank Office Building	\$	38,750
Series 2009A			
GO Bonds Series 2009B	Leonardo	\$	52,274

Interest earnings are considered bond proceeds and can be spent only on project costs for that bond issuance. When the project is completed, any unspent interest earnings can be applied to the interest portion of future debt service payments on the outstanding bonds of the project.

This budget amendment is to appropriate the interest earnings to the above listed individual project Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) accounts.

### D-7: Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant (\$78,750 - Source: Grant Fund)

This item corrects an incorrect object code accounting processed in Budget Amendment #3. The City's Emergency Management Division received a \$1.476 million UASI grant, and in

Budget Amendment #3, \$78,750 was accidentally coded to "cash", which caused a budget deficit in the correct object code, which is used for salaries. This action will correct the coding of the \$78,750.

# D-8: Barnes Bank Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds (\$939,076 reduction in budget authority)

This action adjusts the original budget created for the Barnes Bank Building purchase and renovation. In 2009, the Council established a budget of \$8.2 million for the overall project (funded with Sales Tax Bonds issued in 2009). Before the bonds were sold, the City decided to use the Surplus Land Account for a portion of the property (fronting 400 South), to maintain flexibility in the ultimate development of the land (so as not to run afoul of tax-exempt use requirements relating to the bond). Therefore, the full \$8.2 million is not needed for the project. This action reduces the budget for the project from \$8.2 million to \$7,260,923.65. The Council may wish to ask the Administration for a status update regarding the future use of the Barnes Bank Building as it relates to the future Public Safety Complex.

### D-9: Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase (\$767,000 - Source: Golf Fund)

The Golf Enterprise Fund plans to lease 25 golf carts and 25 pieces of mowing and golf course maintenance equipment through the City's master lease agreement with Banc of America. The lease term for the golf carts is 5 years with payments due every 6 months at a lease rate of 2.68%. The lease term for the moving and course maintenance equipment is 3 years at a rate of 2.09%. (Note: The contract has not been finalized, so lease rate could change slightly.) The first debt-service payment will be due in November of 2010, and lease payments have been budgeted for FY 2011. Upon completion of the payments, the City Golf Fund will have ownership of the golf carts and equipment.

This budget amendment provides tracking of the accounting activity, not the cash flow activity, in the Banc of America escrow account. (Background: The City accounts for the activity in the escrow account in the following way: 1) An approved schedule of vehicle planned purchases (Purchase Orders have been issued) is sent to the City's lease provider, Banc of America, 2) Banc of America puts money in an escrow account and establishes the City's debt service schedule, 3) the City's Fleet receives and accepts an item or vehicle it has ordered, 4) Fleet receives an invoice for the item/vehicle and submits it to Banc of America, 5) Banc of America pays invoice, and reduces the escrow, 6) City makes scheduled debt service payments, 7) upon completion of debt service payments City assumes ownership of items/vehicles. Additionally, once all invoices have been paid by Banc of America the escrow account is closed.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense budgets of \$767,000 to reflect the amount of future lease payments for the golf carts and golf course maintenance equipment.

### The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

### The Administration classified the following as:

### **Donations**

F-1: Donation: Utah Hospital Association (\$93,000 - Source: Donation Fund)

The Fire Department received a donation from the Utah Hospital Association to purchase eleven cardiac monitoring devices to be used by the Department's paramedics. The monitors will provide pre-hospital screening of heart patients, reducing additional monitoring time when patients arrive at the hospital. Instead of providing patient information verbally to the hospital, the monitors will send the information electronically to hospitals, thus improving quality of patient care. This request is to provide the budget so that the donation can be accepted and the equipment purchased.

The Administration classified the following as:

**Cost Overruns** 

**NONE** 

Council Added Items

**NONE** 



RALPH BECKER MAYOR

## SANT' LAKE; GHYY CORPORATION

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR



CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

Date Received: 04/2

Date sent to Council: Oc

**DATE:** April 20, 2010

David Everitt, Chief

Salt Lake City Council

J.T. Martin, Chair

FROM:

David Everitt, Chief of Staff

SUBJECT:

Budget Opening #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10

STAFF CONTACT: Gina Chamness, Budget Director (801) 535-7766

Gordon Hoskins, Deputy Director/Finance Director

Administrative Services (801) 535-6394

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Ordinance

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the

budget amendment #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

**BUDGET IMPACT:** General Fund (\$48,000.00)

Other Funds \$3,571,793.31 CIP Fund \$464,391.65

### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

There are 7 new items with 1 of them that have an impact on the general fund. There is no

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 306 P.O. BOX 145474, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5474 TELEPHONE: 801-535-7704 FAX: 801-535-6331

www.slcgov.com



General Fund fund balance impact.

Item #1 Addresses the new organization in the Police Department.

Item #7 Addresses the personnel changes in the Mayor's Office.

In housekeeping there are 10 items dealing with primarily corrections in the CIP with recapture, and project adjustments. There are adjustments in the budget for bonding projects to the actual bonds issued.

There are 14 items with new grants that need an appropriation.

There is 1 item with donations from the Utah Hospital Assoc for funding of 12 lead cardiac monitoring devices for the Fire Department.

**PUBLIC PROCESS**: Public Hearing

### SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE

No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2010

(Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2009-2010)

An Ordinance Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 17 of 2009 Which Adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2009 and Ending June 30, 2010.

### PREAMBLE

On June 16, 2009, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document as provided above, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No.17 of 2009.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the amendments to the employment staffing document described above, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake	City, Utah, this day of
, 2010.	
CF	IAIRPERSON
ATTEST:	
1	
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER	
Transmitted to the Mayor on	
Transmitted to the Mayor on	
Mayor's Action: Approved Vetoe	ed
$\overline{M}$	AYOR
ATTEST:	
ATTEST.	
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER	APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney of Office
	Date 4-7-10 By 90mm - 21. mm
(SEAT)	
(SEAL)	
Bill No of 2010. Published:	
HB_ATTY-#9683-V1-Budget_Amendment_FY09-10.DOC	

	FY09-10 Annual	Revised	Variance Favorable
Revenue	Budget	Forecast	(Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	196,301,624	194,731,032	(1,570,592)
Selected Discussion Items	60 544 020	69 630 665	(042.265)
Total Property Taxes  Discussion:	69,541,930	68,629,665	(912,265)
A decline in property is due to the Judgment we received on the calculation for the airlines taxes.			
Total Sales and Use Tax	43,393,122	43,122,585	(270,537)
<b>Discussion:</b> Reviewing the sales tax trends for the past eighteen months we have noticed a downward trend and we are hopeful this trend will decrease in the on going months.			
Total Franchise Tax	27,535,772	27,780,772	245,000
Discussion:	,===,	,,	,,,,,,
The increase is do to a large settlement that we are expecting on the per-liner- foot charge to the telecommunication companies.			
License and Permits:	14,853,028	14,780,225	(72,803)
Discussion: The number of commercial and residential permits are up from last fiscal year,			
but the values is significantly lower.			
Total Intergovernmental	5,069,959	5,089,813	19,854
Discussion:			
Interest income	1,511,545	1,192,856	(318,689)
Discussion:			
Interest Income is lower due to a lag in the economy. The federal government has made no changes in their lending rates.			
Total Fines & Forfeiture	10,851,175	10,633,598	(217,577)
Discussion:			
The issuance of parking tickets are lower on average than in previous years.			
Parking Meters	1,529,363	2,085,236	555,873
<b>Discussion:</b> Parking meter revenue will come in higher than expected due to the bagging of			
meters in the down town area Including City Creek.			
Charges and Services	4,184,414	3,966,526	(217,888)
Discussion: City wide charges for services are coming in under budget due to the economic			
conditions.			
Miscellaneous Revenue	1,071,856	1,318,582	246,726
Discussion:			
Total Interfund	9,986,846	9,358,560	(628,286)
Discussion:			
Administration fees are lower by \$350,000 due to the reduction in the general fund with mid-year budget reductions. Fire reimbursement from the airport is			
also coming in lower, but should have an offset in the expenditures.			
Transfers	6,772,614	6,772,614	0
Discussion:			

# Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment #4 –June

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New I	Items				
1.	Deputy Chief Positions in Police Department	\$.00					
2.	Police Service Overtime Reimbursement	\$105,000.00			\$105,000.00		
3.	<b>Donation Fund Receipts</b> and Interest	\$300,000.00					
4.	E-911 Increase Charges from Qwest	\$108,000.00					
5.	Central Business Improv Area Revenue and Expense	\$53,903.00					
6.	Mayor's Office change Admin Assist to Policy Assist	\$.00					
	Section B	<b>Grants For Exist</b>	ing Staff Resource	es			
1.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$42,764.03					
2.	SLC School District Youth City Artways Grant	\$81,930.00					
3.	Ut State SLC Bicycle Safety Project Grant	\$12,876.00					
4.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$45,315.42					
5.	Ut State Homeland Security Terrorism Prevention Grant	\$148,486.00					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section C	<b>Grants For New</b>	Staff Resources				
1.	FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant	\$969,638.00					
	Section D	Housekeeping					
1.	Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant	\$800,000.00					
2.	<b>CIP CDBG Recaptures</b>	\$189,000.00					
3.	CDBG Recapture	\$91,297.56					
4.	CDBG Program Income	\$125,943.61					
5.	Library Parking Garage Operations	-\$153,000.00			-\$153,000.00		
7.	Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant	\$78,750.00					
8.	Barnes Bank Bldg Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds	-\$939,076.35					
9.	Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase	\$767,000.00					
10.	Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds	\$281,815.00					
	Section E	<b>Grants Requiring</b>	No New Staff Res	sources			
1.	Ut State Police In Car Technology Grant	\$40,000.00					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
2.	Ut State Homeland Security Metropolitan Medical Response System Grant	\$321,221.00					
3.	Police Department Nation Crime Victims' Right Week Community Awareness Project Grant	\$5,000.00					
4.	Ut State Homeland Security Local Emerg Planning Committee Hazardous Material Grant	\$3,200.00					
5.	Ut State Environmental Quality digester Covers Grant	\$150,000.00					
6.	Ut State Natural Resource Land and Water Conservation Grant	\$92,307.69					
7.	Ut State Intensive Level Survey Historic Preservation Grant	\$40,700.00					
8.	FEMA Fire Prevention Safety Grant	\$133,000.00					
	Section F	Donations					
1.	Utah Hospital Association	\$93,000.00					

Initiative Nar	ne:					
	Creation of the Deputy Chief Positions in the Police Department					
Initiative Nur	mber:					
	BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-1					
Initiative Typ	pe:					
·	New Item					

### Initiative Discussion:

The Administration is proposing the reorganization of several administrative level positions within the Police Department. This reorganization will entail the reclassification of eight (8) positions to the newly created Deputy Chief position, located in the Office of the Police Chief with an appointed pay grade of 004. Their responsibilities are listed below. The positions that will be reclassified are currently reflected on the Staffing Document as follows: three (3) Assistants to the Chief, located in the Office of the Police Chief with an appointed pay grade 004; four (4) Captains located in the Office of the Police Chief, the Administrative Bureau and the Investigative Bureau with pay grades of 830; and one (1) Lieutenant located in the Office of the Police Chief with a pay grade of 822. There will be pay increases linked to some of these position changes, but the overall reorganization will result in near term savings in this fiscal year of approximately \$14,000 due to the elimination of one (1) Sargeant. In addition, there will be over \$300,000 savings in future years as two (2) Captain positions are eliminated through attrition.

These changes will result in improved efficiency and effectiveness within the Police Department, as well as enhanced accountability within the command structure. There will be more opportunities for upward mobility, and there will also be increased opportunities for those performing their duties to work more closely with the people within the department who are making leadership decisions.

The eight (8) Duputy Chief's responsibilities will be:
Facilities Development - Deputy Chief Tim Doubt
Administration - Deputy Chief Lee Dobrowolski
Communication and Records - Deputy Chief Jim Coleman
Fusion - Deputy Chief Mike Brown
Investigations - Deputy Chief Scott Atkinson
Management Services - Deputy Chief Rick Findlay

Patrol - Deputy Chief Ken Pearce

Special Operations - Deputy Chief Terry Fritz

		of the Deputy Chief Positions the Police Department	<u>L</u>
	1111	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-1		miliativo rvamo	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Gina Chamness			535-7766
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance		(	
Impact		l l	1
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	
Position Title:			
Creation of the Deputy Chief			
Positions in the Police			
Department			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?	•	(Yes or No)
		()
s there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	(Yes or No)
f grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant'?	(Yes or No)
	n grant funding time frame?	(Vos or No)
Will grant program be complete:	o vient turumu mie Halle?	(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete i	grant randing time frame:	
Will grant program be complete i Will grant impact the community eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community		(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	(Yes or No)

Initiative Name:	
Police Service Overtime Reimbursement	
Initiative Number:	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-2	
Initiative Type:	
New Item	
Initiative Discussion:	
The Police Department estimates at the beginning of the fiscal year what the revenues wi for reimbursable police overtime. The actuals vary from that estimate. This budget reque matching the revenues received/anticipated from reimbursing agencies with Police Department overtime expenses.	st is

			Service Overtime mbursement	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative	#A-2			2009-10
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year
Police Departmen	t į			New Item
Department				Type of Initiative
<u>Jerry Burton</u>				799-3824
Prepared By		//	No motives	Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund B	olongo	(1	Negative)	Positive
Impact	alance-			
Revenue Impact By Fu	ad:	<b>-</b>	iscal Year	Annual
Meveriue illipact by i ui	IU.		act Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		Imp	aot Amount	Impact Amount
		\$	105,000.00	
			100,000.00	
	Total	\$	105,000.00	\$0
Internal Service Fund				
	Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund				
	T-1-1		Φ0	
Otto Franci	Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund				
	Total		\$0	\$0
	rotar		ΨΟ	
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of		None		None
Position Title:		None		None
		110110		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
02-00083	1441	\$	4,000.00
02-00047	1446-04	\$	12,000.00
02-00045	1446-05	\$	2,000.00
02-00047	1446-09	\$	25,000.00
02-00047	1446-12	\$	29,000.00
02-00013	1446-13	\$	33,000.00
		\$	105,000.00
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
02-00083	2133	\$	4,000.00
02-00047	2133	\$	12,000.00
02-00045	2133	\$	2,000.00
02-00047	2133	\$	25,000.00
02-00045	2133	\$	29,000.00
02-00043	2133	\$	33,000.00
02-00013	2100	Ψ	33,000.00
		\$	105,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
ls there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the grant			N/A
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are		N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or		N/A

Initiative Name:
Donations Fund receipts and interest
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-3
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
In FY 2010 budget amendment #2 the Council replenished the \$100,000 for allocation for Interest on existing donations funds and for new donations. The additional appropriation has already been allocated to individual funds. An additional 26,000 could have been allocated had it been available. This request replenishes the the \$100,000 and adds an additional \$200,000 to ensure adequate budget until fiscal year end. See below for the use of the \$100,000 that was appropriated in the budget amendment #2.
77-00875 Youth City Programs \$25,446.34; 77-77121 Rainy Day Gallivan Donations 37,005.75; 77-77123 Salt Lake City Foundation \$16,112.48; 77-77130 Imagination Celebration \$3,554.09; 77-77132 SLC Tree Replacement \$1,998.16; 77-77136 Police Equipment Endowment \$542.14; 77-77150 Memorial House Maintenance \$4,811.34; 77-77151 Equipment Purchase Donation (Fire Department) \$5,026.96; 77-77159 CIT Scholarship (Police department) \$5,502.74

2_	onations	Fund receipts and interest	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-3			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Administrative Services			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann			<u>535-6424</u>
Prepared By		(Negative)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative)	Positive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
nevenue impact by rund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		Impact Amount	impaot Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ΨΟ	Ι ψο
Litterprise i unu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Donations Fund	\$	300,000.00	
Total	\$	300,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:	Object Code Nember	A
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77001	1895	\$ 300,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77001	2590	\$ 300,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co		NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	NA NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are	NIA
		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:
E 911 Increased Charges from Qwest
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-4
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
The E 911 system charges from Qwest went through an audit or "true up" review by Qwest and found that the monthly expenses need to be increased from \$23,000 to \$32,000 per month. The \$108,000 request will be funded by the E-911 fund balance.
This "true up" was to be performed annually by Qwest but it has been three years since their last review of the costs to maintain the E 9-1-1 system.
Those cost are allocated to the subscribers in the area effected by the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP).
The ongoing increased cost will be included in the FY 2011 expense budget as well.

	E 911	Increased Charges from Q	vest
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-4			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
POLICE			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
JERRY BURTON			801-799-3824
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	•		
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
O a manual Francis		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	_	Ψ	,
internal Service I und			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		Ψ	
zino prios i ana			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		¥	
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		None	
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	:
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
60-00620	2352-02	\$ 108,000.00
+		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Appropriate fund balance		
Grant Information:	Not a substitute	OV. s. s. N.s.
Grant funds employee positions?	Not applicable	(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	(Yes or No)
grant to soliti		(10001110)
f grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	(Vo No.)
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Door grant dunlingts someless areas	ded by private or	
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	(Yes or NO)
		( Y OC O' N( ))

Initiative Name:
Central Business Improvement Area Fiscal Year 2010 Revenue and Expense Budgets
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-5
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
Estimates for revenue and expense budgets were posted to the Central Business Improvement Area fiscal year 2010 cost center during the creation of the FY10 budgets. As the new district is being created, (estimated to start 4/15/10), those budgets need to be revised to reflect current expenditure estimates. Specifically, budget needs to be increased to cover the first quarterly payment to the Downtown Alliance and to cover a portion of the CED Management piece. We currently have \$157,127 in budget for the Downtown Alliance and we estimate that the payment will be \$205,425. CED Management piece will require \$5,605 in expense budget. Revenue budgets need to be increased accordingly to ensure we do not run a negative budget position within the cost center.

	Cer	tral Business Improvement Are	<u></u>
		I Year 2010 Revenue and Exper	
	1 1300	Budgets	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-5		a.ro ramo	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED, Treasurers, Engineering			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Brent Beck			535-7107
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
. ,		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		\$ -	\$ -
Impact	ļ		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		·	·
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
46-46010		\$ 53,903.00	
Total		\$ 53,903.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			
Position Title:			
			-

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable		
Revenue:	Object Oc. In Manual co.		America
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Φ.	Amount
46-46010	1125	\$	53,903.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
46-46010	2590 2199	\$ \$	48,298.00
46-46010	2199	<b>D</b>	5,605.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			NA NA
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex			N/A
be eliminated at the end of the grar			NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community or eliminated?	nce the grant funds are		NA
			NA
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or		
Non-profit coctor?	i		NA

Initiative Name:
Change in Mayor's Office Administrative Assistant Position to Policy Assistant to the Mayor
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-6
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
The Administration proposes changing an existing appointed 015 position, the Administrative Assistant position located in the Mayor's Office, to a newly created position Policy Assistant to the Mayor. This position change will entail no change in pay grade, or pay.  This proposed change is the result of a change in the position's responsibilities within the Mayor's Office and more accurately reflect the needs of the Mayor's Office.

		Change in Mayor's Office	
	Ad	ministrative Assistant Position	to
		Policy Assistant to the Mayor	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-6			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Gina Chamness			<u>535-7766</u>
Prepared By		(NI a martinus)	Telephone Contact
Canada Fund Fund Palana		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact	•		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Meveride impact by rund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Other Fund		ΨΟ	Ψ(
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	
Position Title:			
Change in Mayor's Office			
Administrative Assistant			
Position to Policy Assistant			
to the Mayor			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
la disease e made estad for	245	/// - N N - N
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue'?	(Yes or No)
 If grant is funding a position is it e	ypacted the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	(Yes or No)
Use of the grant o		(165 01 140)
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
3/ 3/11 p. 23/ 3/11 20 00// ploto	J	(10001110)
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

# Initiative Name: State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Courts Violence Against Women Formula Grant (VAWA) Initiative Number: BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-1 Grants for Existing Staff Resources

The City's Justice Court received a \$42,764.03 grant from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations for the continuation of their VAWA funded domestic violence court clerk position. The full amount of \$42,764.03 will be used to pay the salary and benefits of the FTE who provides data entry services and is the point of contact between the DV Court, treatment providers, law enforcement, victim advocates and victim service agencies. The DV Court provides services to adult women, ages 18 and older, who are victims of domestic violence, harassment and/or stalking, that are involved in cases filed in SLC and/or are involved with the Court to secure a no-contact order or an arrest warrant for an offender. An average of 1,100 misdemeanor domestic violence cases are filed each year and 65 percent involve abuse to female victims.

Initiative Discussion:

This position was VAWA grant funded as an hourly/part time position during the previous year. The increase to a full time position is based on the volume of case management needed. There are currently 1,038 domestic violence cases that are on probation. By increasing the position to an FTE, the court clerk will be able to devote a portion of time each week to facilitating a 24-hour turn around on the issuance of high priority service warrants and non-compliance warrants as well as cross-checking no-contact orders posted to the Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification state-wide system. This position will be fully grant funded.

The grant requires a \$14,374 cash match which will be satisfied with 265 hours of the DV Court Judge, the Criminal Section Manager and the Justice Court Directors time and is budgeted for within the Courts general fund budget.

	State of U	tah, Office of Crime Victim	
		ns, Courts Violence Agains	<u>t</u>
	Women	Formula Grant (VAWA)	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-1			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Justice Court			Grants for Existing Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Mary Johnston/ Sherrie Collins			535-7173 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
. таранов 2		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact	•		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		φυ	
internal Service I unu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		10 =01.00	
72-	\$	42,764.03	
Total	•	42.764.02	\$60
Total	\$	42,764.03	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			
FTE's:			
Position Title:			
1 FTE	\$	32,177.60	
FICA/Medicare/Benefits	\$	10,586.43	
		,	
	\$	42,764.03	

Accounting Detail Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab		99-VAWA-21 -16.588		
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 42,764.03		
72- New Cost Certer	1370	\$ 42,704.03		
Evropa dituro				
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
72- New Cost Center	2111-01	\$ 32,177.60		
72- New Cost Center 72- New Cost Center	2111-01	\$ 10,586.43		
		, 0,000.10		
		\$ 42,764.03		
Additional Accounting Details:				
Pass through funding from the US De	epartment of Justice			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		Yes		
		163		
Is there a potential for grant to con		Yes		
If grant is funding a position is it ended to be eliminated at the end of the grant		Yes		
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes		
Will grant impact the community o eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	Yes		
Does grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or			
Non-profit sector?		No		

## Initiative Name: Salt Lake City School

Salt Lake City School District, US Department of Education, 21st Century Community Learning Center - YouthCity Artways Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-2

Initiative Type:

**Grants for Existing Staff Resources** 

## Initiative Discussion:

The Salt Lake City YouthCity Division in collaboration with the Salt Lake City School District (SLCSD) applied jointly for a US Department of Education, 21st Century Community Learning Center grant. The SLCSD received the grant, and is the lead agency of the the three year grant. The SLCSD subawarded a total of \$122,895. to the City. In year one of the grant, \$40,965 of the grant funding was brought into the City's budget during BA #3 FY08-09. This request is to establish budget for the remainder or \$81,930 for the 2nd and 3rd year of the grant. This program is a collaborative effort with other local agencies, youth program providers and educational institutes. Some partners include Big Brothers Big Sisters of Utah, Boy Scouts of America, the American Red Cross, Salt Lake County and the University of Utah. The purpose of this grant is to create community learning centers that provide academic enrichment opportunities during non-school hours for children, particularly students, who attend high-poverty and low-performing schools. The SLCSD has identified two Title 1 schools, Washington Elementary and Bryant Middle School. The YouthCity Division will provide arts education, employment, civic and teen programs as a compliment to school-based programs in support of student/family success and connection to school.

YouthCity Artways will provide visual arts, music, dance, video film and employment/life skills classes at Bryant Middle School and visual arts, music, dance and video film classes at Washington Elementary. Of the \$40,965 grant award, \$31,845 will be used to pay hourly wage and FICA of seasonal employees including teachers, a program coordinator; and life skills instructor; \$6,120 will be used to purchase supplies that include video camera, tripod, computer, video tape and assorted supplies; and \$3,000 will be spent on a program evaluation. Note: All equipment will become the property of the Salt Lake City School District when program is complete.

The grant requires an \$11,509 match which will be satisfied with the YouthCity Program Manager and Employment Coordinators time and benefits which is budgeted for within the YouthCity Divisions general fund budget. A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 5% of the YouthCity Artways Director and Assistants time; facility rental donation, student busing and teachers time by SLC School District; and facility rental donation of the City Library.

	Departme Com	ake City School District, US ent of Education, 21st Centu nmunity Learning Center - outhCity Artways Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-2			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			<b>Grants for Existing Staf</b>
Public Services			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6129 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact By Fund		Figure Version	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund		<u> </u>	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-30906	\$	81,930.00	
Total	\$	81,930.00	\$(
Staffing Impact			
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of			
FTE's:			`

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	84.28	7
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-30906	1895	\$	81,930.00
			,
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-30906	2590	\$	81,930.00
72 00000	2000	Ψ	01,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
<b>j</b>			
Pass through funding from the US De	partment of Education		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			No
s there a potential for grant to con	tinuo?		Yes
is there a potential for grant to con	unide :		162
If grant is funding a position is it ex	spected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	nt?		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community of	and the grant funds are		
Will grant impact the community or eliminated?	ice the grant funds are		Yes
Cimiliated:			169
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or		
		1	

itiative Name:
State of Utah Department of Public Safety - SLC Bicycle Safety Project
itiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-3
Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
itiative Discussion:
the SLCPD applied for and received a \$12,876 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public afety under their federally funded National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Bicycle Safety rogram.  The SLCPD will administer a public bicycle safety awareness and enforcement campaign that will ducate youth and adults through "Bike Rodeos". A minimum of six rodeos will be held in May at local chools to educate students and six rodeos will be held during the summer months at local community vents that will target both youth and adults. The program will be a joint effort with community artners in distributing helmets to children and safety lights to adult cyclists. A press release and ducational materials will be developed to announce the program. The purpose of this program is to excrease accidents and fatalities by educating both cyclists and motorists of bicycle safety measures and laws governing both.
f these funds, \$9,600 will be used to pay officer over time to conduct the twelve Bike Rodeos and 3,276 will be used to develop and print educational outreach materials and pamphlets and youth give ways.
Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any dditional grants or agreements that stem from the Utah Department of Public Safety.

	'	'	
		ah Department of	
		ety - SLC Bicycle	
		ety Project	
DA #4 5\0040 L ''' #5 0	Init	tiative Name	2000.10
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-3			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
1 Toparea By	(1	Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	ν-	None	
Impact	<u> </u>		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fi	scal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Imp	act Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		φυ	
internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund		ΨΟ	
Emerprise i unu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		***	
72	\$	12,876.00	
		•	
Total	\$	12,876.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of			(
FTE's:			
Position Title:			
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	PS100703 - 20.600
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	A a
72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 1370	<b>Amount</b> \$ 12,876.00
72 New Cost Center	1370	φ 12,070.00
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 12,876.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
State pass through grant from the Nat (NHTSA)	ional Highway Traffic Safety Administrati	on
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant	?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?	7.	No

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Violence Against Women Formula Grant
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-4
Grants for Existing Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
The Prosecutor's Office applied for and received a \$45,315.42 grant to be used to continue to pay the salary and benefits of the Court Victim Assistant position. This position aids victims of domest violence with accessing community support resources in addition to providing court advocacy the assists victims through the difficult, complex and intimidating court process when prosecuting the abusers.
A 25% or \$11,328 match is required and will be satisfied with time spent on grant related responsibilities by the Program Coordinator, the Financial Monitor and a paralegal whose salary and benefits are budgeted for within their perspective departments/divisions general fund budget.
A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utal Violence Against Women Formula Grant award and to sign any additional agreements or awards as result of the initial grant.

	Stat	e of Utah, Office of Crime Vict	im_
		rations, Violence Against Wor	
	•	Formula Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-4			2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			<b>Grants for Existing Staff</b>
Prosecutors Office			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
adma Collings / Sherrie Collin	<u>s</u>		<u>535-7762 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	-	None	
Impact		F: 12/	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	-	ΨΟ	Ψ
internal oct vice i and			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-		\$ 45,315.42	
Total		\$ 45,315.42	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			C
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	16.588	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	-
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 45,315.4	-
			_
			_
			_ _
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 45,315.4	12
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
Additional Accounting Details:			_
			_
			_
			_
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		Yes	_
	4:2		_
Is there a potential for grant to con		Yes	_
If grant is funding a position is it ex			
be eliminated at the end of the grar		Yes	_
Will grant program be complete in		Yes	
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?		Yes	
Does grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or		_
Non-profit sector?		No	

## Initiative Name: State of Utah, 2009-Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) and Law Enforcement **Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP)** Initiative Number: BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-5 **Grants for Existing Staff Resources Initiative Discussion:** The Emergency Management Services Division receives this annual grant from the Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security. It is awarded to local jurisdictions to purchase equipment and provide training opportunities as necessary to prepare in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack. The County is the lead agency and will reimburse SLC for eligible expenses as outlined in the grant award. The City will receive \$148,417 of grant funding which was allocated for the following purposes: \$24,855 was allocated to SLC PD to conduct a Law Enforcement NIMS training and an additional \$12,418 to pay officer OT for participation in a county wide drill; \$18,000 was awarded to the Fire Department to purchase level A hazmat suits; and \$93,143 (\$50.979.60 through county-wide program and \$42,164 to SLC) awarded to the Emergency Management Division to purchase 72 hour preparedness supplies for emergency responders and essential personnel. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Grant Prog	ah 2009 Homeland Sec gram and Law Enforcen sm Prevention Progran	nent	
		Initiative Name		
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-5				2009-2010
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year
Admin Services			2	Grants for Existing Staft Resources
Department				Type of Initiative
Cory Lyman / Sherrie Collins				<u>535-1901 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By				Telephone Contact
		(Negative)		Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None		
Impact				
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year		Annual
		Impact Amount		Impact Amount
General Fund				
Total		\$0		\$(
Internal Service Fund				
Total		\$0		\$0
Enterprise Fund				
Total		\$0		\$0
Other Fund				
72-	\$	148,486.00		
Total	\$	148,486.00		\$0
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of				(
FTE's:				
Position Title:				

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2009-SHSP-002 - 97.06
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 148,486.00
72 Now Good Control	1070	Ψ 110,100.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	2590	<b>Amount</b> \$ 148,486.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
3		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to cont		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		NA
Will grant program be complete in g		Yes
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	No

Initiative Name:
US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Grant
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #C-1
Grant For New Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
The Fire Department received a \$969,638 grant from the US Federal Emergency Management Agency, under the SAFER grant program. This grant is awarded to hire new firefighters and/or rehire laid off firefighters and will pay the salary and benefits of the positions for a two year period. The Fire Department is proposing to use the SAFER funds to hire eleven (9) new firefighters at an average cost of \$484,819 per year. These jobs will replace the unfilled positions that were lost during budget cuts.  Although the grant pays the salary and benefits for the two year period, the City is required to retain the firefighters for a three year period. The cost for the third year salary and benefits of the 9 positions would be approximately \$500,620 and would be the City's responsibility. In addition the City must
certify that no additional firefighter lay offs will occur within the three year period.  A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Federal Emergency Management Agency grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

L	JS Federal F	Emergency Management	
A	gency (FEM	IA) Staffing for Adequate	
		Emergency Response	
		SAFER) Grant Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #C-1		miliative name	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
miliative ramber			Grant For New Staff
Fire Department			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			799-4210 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact		<b>F</b> ' 1 V	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual Impact Amount
General Fund		npact Amount	impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	969,638.00	
Total	\$	969,638.00	\$0
0. ("			
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	TBD
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Nember	A
72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 1360	<b>Amount</b> \$ 969,638.00
72 New Cost Center	1300	\$ 969,638.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 969,638.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Federal Grant -		
rederal Grant -		
Grant Information:		V <sub>2</sub> -
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		No
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services proving Non-profit sector?	vided by private or	
Non protit cooter?	I I	No

Initiative Name:
Fire Communications Truck Funded from UASI Grant
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-1
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
Establish revenue and expense budget in the Fleet Fund to record purchase of two Fire apparatus vehicles funded from the City's UASI Grant Fund (7210906).  The UASI Grant was awarded to the Emergency Management Division of Administrative Services Department. \$800,000 of the grant was allocated to purchase Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solutions vehicles.  This request will allow the equipment to be accounted for in the Capital Asset inventory.

	Fire Con	nmunications Truck Funded	
	I II COII	from UASI Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-1			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>Greg Davis</u>			<u>535-6123</u>
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact		Figure I Versi	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund		Impact Amount	impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
	\$	800,000.00	
	*	,	
Total	\$	800,000.00	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72			
<b>-</b>		0.0	0.0
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact			
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of		0	C
		0	
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Conton Humbon	object oddo Hamber		Amount
61-00020 Fleet Replacement	1974-72 Transfer from Misc Grants Fu	nd \$	800,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-10906	2590	\$	(800,000.00)
72-10906	2910-04	\$	800,000.00
61-00020 Fleet Replacement	2750-01 Equipment New Acquisition	\$	800,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UASI G	rant – Interoperable Communications	Project)	
EXISTING BUDGET >	2590 Other Expenses	\$	1,380,847.00
WILL BE USED >	291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacement	\$	800,000.00
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to co			NA
If grant is funding a position is it on the grant is funding a position is it on the grant is a funding the grant is funding to the grant is grant is funding to the grant is grant is grant is grant in the grant is grant is grant in the grant in the grant is grant in the grant in the grant is grant in the grant in			NA
Will grant program be complete in			NA
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are		NA
	vided by private or		
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	vided by private of		NA

Initiative Name:
CIP CDBG- Recaptures
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-2
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
CDBG R funds were awarded for construction of Burbank Avenue, Glendale Drive to Redwood Drive, and Bell Avenue, Glendale Drive to Redwood Road during the FY 2010 CDBG process. Engineering received excellent bids on both projects resulting in savings of \$109,000 on the Burbank Avenue project and an \$80,000 savings on the Bell Avenue project, totaling \$189,000 of project funds that can be re-allocated.  Minimal construction was completed on both projects in the fall of 2009 and it is anticipated that work will resume April 1, 2010.  This request will recapture the \$189,000 excess CDBG-R funds for re-allocation during the FY-2011 CDBG process. These funds will be reviewed by the Mayor and his proposals will be presented to the Council for finalization at a later date.

CIP CDBG- Recaptures Initiative Name  BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-2 Initiative Number CED Department LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund  Total Total Total Total So  Cher Fund  Total So  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's: Position Title:				
Initiative Name   Initiative				
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-2 Initiative Number CED Department LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Total Total Total Total So Enterprise Fund  Total Stafffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:  Fiscal Year Annual Impact Amount Stafffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:	-			
Initiative Number CED Housekeeping Department Type of Initiative LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin S35-6136/535-615  (Negative) Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount General Fund So Internal Service Fund So Internal Service Fund So Internal So		2000 10	Initiative Name	
CED   Department   Total   T				· ·
Department  LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin  (Negative)  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Total		_		
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  General Fund  Total				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  General Fund  Total				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  So  Enterprise Fund  Total  Total  Total  So  Staffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:	<u>6150</u>	<u> </u>		Luann Clark/Snerrie Collin
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  So  Enterprise Fund  Total  Total  Total  So  Staffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:		Positivo	(Nogativo)	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Impact Amount  So  Internal Service Fund  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  So  Other Fund  Total  So  Staffing Impact  Requested Number of FTE's:		FUSITIVE	(Negative)	General Fund - Fund Balance-
Revenue Impact By Fund:    Impact Amount   Impact Amount   Impact Amount				
Impact Amount   Impact Amoun		Annual	Fiscal Voar	
Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Cother Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impacts Requested Number of FTE's:	unt			Nevende Impact by Fund.
Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:	arre	impact Amount	impact Amount	General Fund
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				Conordi i diid
Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				
Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impacts  Requested Number of FTE's:	\$0	9	\$0	Total
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				Internal Service Fund
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of \$0  FTE's:	\$0	3	\$0	
Total \$0  Staffing Impacts Requested Number of 0 FTE's:				Enterprise Fund
Total \$0  Staffing Impacts Requested Number of 0 FTE's:				
Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impacts Requested Number of 0 FTE's:	\$0	0	\$0	Total
Total \$0  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of 0 FTE's:			40	
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of 0 FTE's:				0.000.1.000
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of 0 FTE's:				
Requested Number of 0 FTE's:	\$0	9	\$0	Total
Requested Number of 0 FTE's:				Staffing Impact:
FTE's:	(		0	
				FTE's:
				Position Title:
				i comon muc.

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	· ·	
	0700	Ф (400.000.00)
83-10072 Burbank Ave 83-10071 Bell Avenue	2700 2700	\$ (109,000.00) \$ (80,000.00)
03-1007 i Bell Avenue	2700	\$ (80,000.00)
83-ARRA CDBG -R Cost overrun	2700	\$ 189,000.00
(New Cost Center)		
Additional Assessment Details		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Create new CDBG R Cost Over-ru	ın	
Cost Center - ARRA funds can no	ot be mixed	
with other funding sources		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?	NA
-		
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	NA
100		
Will grant impact the community	once the grant tunds are	NI A
eliminated?		NA NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Pood grant auphoate services pr	oriada by private or	NA

Initiative Name:
Recapture Remaining Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Budgets
Initiative Number:
BA#3 FY2010 Initiative # D-3
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
This request decreases the remaining budgets of five (5) completed and/or closed US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) projects including CDBG grants totaling \$91,297.56, and increases the cost over run accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming as per HUD Federal guidelines.

	Recapture Remaining Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Budgets	
	Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2010 Initiative # D-3	initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
uAnn Clark / Jennifer Schumann		535-6136/ 535-7276
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1 Toparea Dy	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	Ψ0	
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$ -	\$ -
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:		
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	1310	\$	(1,588.90)
71-20099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310	\$	1,588.90
74 25060 CDC Program Income	1310	•	(92.170.67)
71-35060 CDC Program Income 71- 35099 CDBG Cost overrun	1310	\$   <b>\$</b>	(82,179.67) <b>82,179.67</b>
71- 35099 CDBG Cost overrun	1310	<b>a</b>	02,179.07
71-34055 Alliance House	1310	\$	(740.00)
71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310	\$	740.00
71-35063 Odyssey House	1310	\$	(1,072.30)
71-35005 Cdyssey House 71-35036 Multi-Cultural Legal Center	1310	\$	(5,716.69)
71-35099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310	\$	6,788.99
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Community Development Block Gra	nt		
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	2500	•	(4 500 00)
71-20015 Otali Heritage Foundation 71-20099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590 2590	\$	(1,588.90) <b>1,588.90</b>
71-20099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	1,588.90
71-35060 CDC Program Income	2590	\$	(82,179.67)
71- 35099 CDBG Cost overrun	2590	\$	82,179.67
71-34055 Alliance House	2590	Φ.	(740.00)
71-34035 Alliance House 71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$   <b>\$</b>	(740.00) <b>740.00</b>
71-34099 CDBG COSt Overruit	2590	Ψ	740.00
71-35063 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(1,072.30)
71-35036 Multi-Cultural Legal Center	2590	\$	(5,716.69)
71-35099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	6,788.99
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex			
be eliminated at the end of the grant	1?		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Initiative Name:
Establish Budget For Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program Income
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative # D-4
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
These Housing and Urban Development (HUD) CDBG funded programs have received program income totaling \$125,943.61 from re-payment of loans. This request will establish budget for those funds, allowing the program income to be reallocated back into the individual program for recapture or continued programming as per HUD Federal Guidelines.
The three programs include the Utah Heritage Foundation, the Community Development Corporation of Utah and the City's Cleaning and Securing program.

	Estab	lish Budget For Communit	t <b>y</b>	
	<u>Devel</u>	opment Block Grant (CDB)	<u>3)</u>	
		Program Income		
		Initiative Name		
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative # D-4				<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year
CED - HAND				Housekeeping
Department JAnn Clark / Jennifer Schumani	_		E 4	Type of Initiative 35-6136/ 535-7276
1	1		<u> </u>	
Prepared By		(Negative)		Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None		rositive
Impact		None		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year		Annual
nevenue impact by rana.		Impact Amount		Impact Amount
General Fund		Impaot Amount		Impaot-Amount
Total		\$0		\$0
Internal Service Fund				
Total		\$0		\$0
Enterprise Fund				
				<u> </u>
Total		\$0		\$0
Other Fund				
Total	Φ.		Φ.	
Total	\$	-	\$	-
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of FTE's:				0
Position Title:				
rosidon nue.				

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	1305	\$	1,588.90
71-70631 CDBG Cleaning & Securing	1305	\$	42,175.04
71-35060 CDC Program Income	1305	\$	82,179.67
	1000	<u> </u>	<u>, </u>
		\$	125,943.61
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Community Development Block Gra			7 mount
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	2590	\$	1,588.90
71-70631 CDBG Cleaning & Securing	2590	\$	42,175.04
71-35060 CDC Program Income	2590	\$	82,179.67
		Φ.	405.040.04
		\$	125,943.61
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			N A
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Grant funds employee positions?	nue?		NA NA
Grant funds employee positions?  Is there a potential for grant to conti  If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will		NA
Grant funds employee positions?  Is there a potential for grant to conti	pected the position will		
Grant funds employee positions?  Is there a potential for grant to conti  If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will ?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to contict of the grant is funding a position is it explose eliminated at the end of the grant will grant program be complete in grant grant impact the community one	pected the position will ? rant funding time frame?		NA NA
Grant funds employee positions?  Is there a potential for grant to contice to the grant is funding a position is it explose eliminated at the end of the grant will grant program be complete in grant program be complete	pected the position will ? rant funding time frame?		NA NA
Grant funds employee positions?  Is there a potential for grant to contice of the grant is funding a position is it explose eliminated at the end of the grant will grant program be complete in grant will grant impact the community one	rant funding time frame?		NA NA

Initiative Name:
Library Parking Garage Operations
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-5
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:  When the FY09-10 budget was first prepared, the Library was operating and managing the parking garage. The City was scheduled to assume operations of the parking garage on July 1, 2009, however, the City had not yet determined if the City would operate and manage the parking garage or have a contractor operate it. The original budgeted revenue and expense was based on the City managing and operating the garage, with all revenue and expense recorded on the City's books. However, it was later decided that the City would contract out the operations.  In accordance with the contract, on a monthly basis the revenue the contractor collects is netted against the contractor's operational expenses and the net is paid. If revenue is more than expense, the contractor issues a check to the City. If expenses exceed revenue, the City issues a check to the contractor. Because of this, the department is requesting a budget amendment to reflect what is actually occurring.

		ing Garage Operations	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-5		Initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Greg Davis			535-6123
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact		•	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	lm	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		/	
07-00092 1754 Auto Parking	\$	(153,000.00)	
Total	r r	(452,000,00)	Φ.Ο
Total Internal Service Fund	\$	(153,000.00)	\$0
internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		Ψ0	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Ota (Circum Income of a			
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of		0	0
		0	
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	:
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
07-00092 Library Parking Structure	1754	\$ (153,000.00)
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
07-00092 Library Parking Structure	2350	\$ (153,000.00)
Additional Assessment Detailer		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it exp	nected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		(Yes or No)
grant and an area of the grant		(12001110)
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
	-	
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

## LIBRARY PARKING GARAGE OPERATIONS AND DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

	FY09-10 Budget No Netting	FY09-10 Projected Netting	
SUMMARY	Assumed	Methodology	Change
Revenue	163,000	10,000	(153,000)
Operating expense Mid-year budget modification	182,040 (30,000)	8,040	(174,000) 30,000
Operating expense	152,040	8,040	(144,000)
Net from operations	10,960	1,960	(9,000)
Deferred Maintenance paid by City	40,000	31,000	(9,000)
Net Revenue - Expense	(29,040)	(29,040)	<u>-</u>
EXPENSE BUDGET			

Total expense - original adopted 222,040
Mid-year amendment (30,000)
Budget amendment #3 (153,000)

After all amendments 39,040

39,040

_	_
Initiative Name:	
Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant Budget Adjustment	
Initiative Number:	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-7	
·	
Housekeeping	
Initiative Discussion:	
The Emergency Management Division received a \$1,476,000 grant from the State of Uta Division of Homeland Security under the UASI program, and was brought into the budg during budget amendment #3	
In the budget amendment #3 paperwork, an incorrect object code, 011121, was used which resulted in \$78,750 of the allocation to be coded to cash. This caused a budget deficit \$78,750, which should have been coded to object code 211101 for salary.	
This action will move the \$78,750 from the cash object code and create the budget needed expend the cash.	to

	<u>Urba</u>	n Area Security Initiative (UA	SI)
		Grant Budget Adjustment	
DA#4 EV0040 Initiative #D 7		Initiative Name	2222 42
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-7 Initiative Number			<b>2009-10</b> Fiscal Year
initiative Number <u>CED</u>			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Sherrie Collins			535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	•		
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	_	Ψ	40
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
		0	0.6
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total			\$0
rotai	_		
Staffing Impact:			
·			(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2008-UASI-001 97.067
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	(=========
72-10906	011121	\$ (78,750.00)
72-10906	211101	\$ 78,750.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Grant runus employee positions?		NA NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
J. S.		
If grant is funding a position is it exp		
be eliminated at the end of the grant	?	NA
NACIL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		***
Will grant program be complete in g	rant tunding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	ce the grant runus are	NA
		ING
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:
Barnes Bank Reduction / Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY 2010 Initiative #D-8
Initiative Type: Housekeeping
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
On February 19, 2009 the City issued the series 2009 A Sales Tax Revenues bond to purchase land and construct a maintenance facility complex and purchase a bank building for conversion to additional office space. Prior to bonds issuance budget was approved for \$8,200,000 for the purchase and renovation of the Barnes Bank Building. During the period

On February 19, 2009 the City issued the series 2009 A Sales Tax Revenues bond to purchase land and construct a maintenance facility complex and purchase a bank building for conversion to additional office space. Prior to bonds issuance budget was approved for \$8,200,000 for the purchase and renovation of the Barnes Bank Building. During the period between the initial budget approval and the bond issuance the purchase of part of the property was budgeted and paid for from Surplus land in the amount of \$939,076.35. Using the Surplus land account enabled the City to comply with bond restrictions and covenants associated with bond issuance. When the bonds were sold, only \$7,260,923.65 was allocated to the Barnes Bank account. The budget needs to be reduced from the \$8,200,000 to \$7,260,923.65 (a difference of \$939,076.35) so that expenditures can not be made in excess of the the \$8,200,000 between the two accounts.

		Parnos Pank Paduation /	
	D.	Barnes Bank Reduction / econciliation to Bond Proceeds	
	12	economistion to Bond 1 rocceds	
BA#4 FY 2010 Initiative #D-8			2009-2010
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Admin Services			Housekeeping
Dept			Type of Initiative
Gina Chamness			<u>535-7766</u>
Prepared By			Contact Number
O		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	'		
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue impact by Fund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
T ( )		00	400
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		**	
83-09071		\$ (939,076.35)	n/a
Total		\$ (939,076.35)	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	9:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-09071	1980	\$ (939,076.35)
03-09071	1900	\$ (838,070.33)
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-09071	2799-01	\$ (939,076.35)
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in		(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

Initiative Name:
Golf Fund Capital Leasing Expenditure Increase
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-9
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
This amendment request will establish both revenue and expense budgets in the Golf Functor for the financing proceeds and use of \$767,000 for equipment purchases. The proceeds wise placed in an escrow account and subsequently used to purchase 25 golf carts an approximately 25 pieces of maintenance equipment. The debt service for this lease-purchase agreement won't begin until next fiscal year.

	=		
	Solf Fund	Capital Leasing Expenditu	ıre
		<u>Increase</u>	
		Initiative Name	2000 40
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-9			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Greg Davis			<u>535-6123</u>
Prepared By		()   (   )	Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative)	Positive
Impact		<b>-</b> :	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
O a manual Francis		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		\$0	ΦC
Internal Service Fund			
Total		<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b>
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	Φ.	707.000.00	
Golf Fund	\$	767,000.00	
Total	\$	767 000 00	\$0
Other Fund	D D	767,000.00	<u> </u>
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Total		φυ	
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	C
		o d	-
Position Title:			
		<u> </u>	
		+	
	-		

Revenue: Cost Center Number 59-01000	Object Code Number 1895	### Amount \$ 767,000.0
	Object Code Number 1895	
59-01000	1895	\$ 767,000.0
+		+ +
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
59-01000	2785	\$ 767,000.0
+		+ +
+		+
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
		+
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
		——————————————————————————————————————
s there a potential for grant to con	inue?	(Yes or No)
f grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will	+
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?	(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
ACH appet in a set of	and the month formula	
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are	(Vec == N=)
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	private of	(Yes or NO)

Initiative Name:
Interest Earnings on Sales Tax Revenue Bonds and General Obligation Bonds
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:

**Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A**, were issued in June 2007 for the purpose of funding Salt Lake City's portion of the Grant Tower rail reconfiguration project, and the TRAX Extension project from Energy Solutions Arena to the Intermodal Hub. Bond proceeds held by the Trustee earn interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quarter of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010.

A total of \$8,197 for the Grant Tower project and \$4,579 for the TRAX Extension project has accumulated in each respective fund since the last budget amendment was passed for this purpose.

Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A, were issued in February 2009 to fund Salt Lake City's Public Services Maintenance Facility project, and the Office Building construction project. At the time the bonds were issued the proceeds were deposited with the Trustee. Since then, the proceeds have been earning interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quater of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quaters of fiscal year 2010.

Totals of \$178,015 for the Public Services Maintenance Facility project and \$38,750 for the were issued.

General Obligation Bonds Series 2009B, were issued in June 2009 to fund Salt Lake City's cost of renovating, improving and preserving the old main library building and providing related facilities located approximately 5th South Street and 2nd East Street to establish a science, culture and art education center known as The Leonardo. At the time the bonds were issued the proceeds were deposited with the Paying Agent. Since then, the unspent proceeds have been earning interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quater of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quaters of fiscal year 2010.

A total of \$52,274 for The Leonardo Project have accumulated since the bonds were issued.

	Interest Far	nings on Sales Tax	
		onds and General	
		ation Bonds	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Admin Services			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Deptement Name			Type of Initiative
Dan Mule' / Marina Scott			<u>535-6411 / 535-6565</u>
Prepared By			Contact Number
	1)	legative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		scal Year act Amount	Annual Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		<b>PO</b>	<b>C</b>
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Ψ0	
Grant Tower Project 83-08009	\$	8,197.00	
TRAX Ext Project 83-08010	\$	4,579.00	
Public Services Maint Facility	\$	178,015.00	
Project 83-09064	Ť		
Office Building Project 83-09071	\$	38,750.00	
The Leonardo Project 83-06081	\$	52,274.00	
Total	\$	281,815.00	\$0
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Requested Number of FIE's:		0	0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Oct Contor Humber	Object Code Hamber		Amount
83-08009	1830	\$	8,197.00
83-08010	1830	\$	4,579.00
83-09064	1830	\$	178,015.00
83-09071	1830	\$	38,750.00
83-06081	1830	\$	52,274.00
		\$	281,815.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-08009	2700	<b>C</b>	9 107 00
83-08010	2700	\$ \$	8,197.00 4,579.00
83-09064	2700	\$	178,015.00
83-09071	2700	\$	38,750.00
83-06081	2700	\$	52,274.00
00 00001	2.00	Ψ	02,27 1100
		\$	281,815.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
83-08009 is the Grant Tower Project			
83-08010 is the TRAX Extension P	roject cost center.		
83-09064 is the Office Building Pro	intenance Facility Project cost center.		
83-06081 is The Leonardo Project			
•	COST CONTON		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?	? 		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gr			N/A
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are		N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Initiative Name:
State of Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), JAG ARRA - SLC Police In-Car Technology Grant
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-1
Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
The SLCPD applied for and received a \$40,000 grant from the State of Utah, CCJJ, under the Department of Justice, Justice Assistance Grant - ARRA Federal Stimulus Block Grant Program.
These funds were awarded to purchase an additional 13 Eticketing program upgrades for the Police Department's Versaterm Computer Aided Dispatch System (CAD) and Records Management System. The City recently received Department of Justice JAG grants to initiate the Eticketing program. This \$40,000 grant received will purchase an additional 13 programs providing the program to 285 first responders.
The program will enable SLC officers to electronically fill and submit citations from their vehicles an print a copy of the citation for the motorist. The submission of the citation will be electronicall submitted to the Records Division, where it will be processed and submitted to the court in a metho that is completed in minutes. The automated process is estimated to provide employee savings 6,100 hours annually.

	State of Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), JAG ARRA- SLC Police in Car Technology Grant	
	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-1		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Police Department		Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department		Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact	<b>-</b> :	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund	7-1	T
Total	\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund		-
Total	\$0	\$(
Other Fund		
72	\$ 40,000.00	
Total	\$ 40,000.00	\$(
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		
Position Title:		
		i e

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	9AR59 - 16.04
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 40,000.00
72 New Cost Center	1370	Ψ 40,000.00
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 40,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Pass through grant from the Departme	ent of Justice	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nuo?	No
		NO
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant	pected the position will ?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

### Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security - Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Grant

### Initiative Number:

### BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-2

### **Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources**

### Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department applied for and received \$321,221 from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, under the FEMA Homeland Security Metropolitan Medical Response (MMRS) grant program. This grant is awarded on an annual basis to jurisdictions across the County to plan and implement a medical response mechanism in the event of a mass casualty or a weapons of mass destruction terrorist attack. The SLC Fire Department is the lead agency partnering with local health, police, fire, hospitals, ambulance and other agencies who make up the MMRS team.

\$169,200 of these funds will be used to purchase equipment. Equipment includes \$96,000 to purchase software licenses, barcode readers and computers for the Emergency Patient Tracking System (EPTS) for the Emergency Medical Services, Emergency Operation Centers and hospitals; \$35,000 to purchase additional full face air purifier respirators, N-95 masks, and CBRNE detectors/dosimeters for first responder agencies; and \$15,000 to purchase license and equipment for amateur radio emergency services (ARES) ham radio upgrades at hospitals and EOCs.

\$10,000 will be used for required travel to regional and national training and workshops by MMRS Steering Committee and coordinators.

\$92,021 will be used to pay for the Doctor contracted by the Fire Department who provides pharmaceutical oversight as is State regulated in order for the Fire Department to disburse pharmaceuticals and for clerical duties of personnel assigned to the Emergency Management Division to provide grant related management.

\$50,000 of the grant funding will be used to plan and coordinate MMRS activities, drills and grant preparation as is required by the grant regulations.

No match is required.

5		h, Department of Public on of Homeland Security	
		tan Medical Response	
		em (MMRS) Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-2			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grant Requiring No New
Fire Department			Staff Resources
Department  John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 799-4210 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
т тератей Бу		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(110910)	1 33
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	In	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
		0.0	0.0
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ΨΟ	Ψ
Zinoi pinoo i dina			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	321,221.00	
Total	\$	321,221.00	\$0
0. (()			
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			
į l			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	09-SHSP-MMRS-001 - 97.06
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 321,221.00
72 New Cook Conto.	10.10	Φ
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 321,221.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
US Department of Homeland Security	Pass through	
Grant Information:		V
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		Yes
		Yes
Will grant program be complete in g		res
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ice the grant funds are	Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

# Initiative Name: National Association of VOCA Assistance Administrators Grant - PD Nation Crime Victims' Right Week Community Awareness Project

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-3

### **Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

### Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD applied for and received a \$5,000 grant from the National Association of VOCA Assistance Administrators, under their Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs.

The SLCPD Public Relations Unit and the Victim Advocate Program will coordinate a week of public awareness activities during National Crime Victims Rights Week, with a different event held each day of that week. Events include an interfaith candlelight event to raise awareness of the spiritual, physical and emotional impact that crime leaves on community members; a proclamation will be jointly issued by the Mayor's Office and the PD Chief and new informational brochures regarding the Utah Victims' Bill of Rights and the Utah Constitutional Amendment for Victims Rights will be introduced; survivors, staff from Victim Advocate Programs and the Public Relations Unit with others will participate in a radio program to discuss the rights of victims of crime. Information will also be provided regarding statewide resources available to victims; survivors quilts will be unveiled at various public locations to include victim service providers offices, government buildings, offender treatment facilitates, etc.; a tree ceremony will be held and members will be invited to tie on a ribbon to represent the impact crime had on their lives; primary crime victims and secondary survivors will be invited to join victim advocates from various community and government programs to participate in a Honk and Wave event; and a keynote speaker will address participants at a community event to discuss victims' rights. Promotional items and brochures will be provided to the community during each event.

The funding will be used to purchase materials for quilts, printed signs, brochure printing costs, T-shirts for the honk and wave event, promotional give away items such as lip balm, water bottles, keychains, etc., snacks and beverages and supplies.

	Nation	al Association of	
	<u>VOC</u> Administr	al Association of CA Assitance ators - PD National ctims Rights Week	
	le le	Grant	
DA#4 FV0040 In: 4: ative #E 2	III	nitiative Name	2000 40
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-3			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year  Grants Requiring No.
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Police Department			
Department  Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
гтератец Бу	+ ,	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	<del>                                     </del>	None	1 03:1170
Impact		NONG	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		iscal Year	Annual
		pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		Suot Amount	111190017211100111
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	+	¥ •	
Enterprise Faria	_		
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	5,000.00	
Total	\$	5,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
	_		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	10-127 - 16.582
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 5,000.00
		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 5,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Pass through grant from the Departme	ent of Justice,	
Office of Justice Assistance		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Grant rando empreyos posiciones.		110
Is there a potential for grant to cont	nue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

# Initiative Name: State of Utah, Department of Public Safety Homeland Security - Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) - 2010 LEPC Hazardous Materials Planning Grant Initiative Number: BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-4 Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources Initiative Discussion: The Emergency Management Services Division applied for and received a \$3,200 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety under the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC)

The Emergency Management Services Division applied for and received a \$3,200 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety under the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness (HMEP) program. It is awarded to local jurisdictions to perform hazard analysis and develop or update local emergency plans, including integrating the SLC LEPC plans and activities into the Region II Hazmat and emergency response planning, create community awareness programs for hazardous materials, integrate local LEPC planning into the community, provide or attend trainings and exercises to support Utah Region II hazardous materials planning, perform needs assessments for early alert and warning systems and integrate the hazardous materials transportation and storage into all hazards emergency management and homeland security activities. The City receives this grant on an annual basis from the State.

The SLC LEPC holds monthly meetings which have an educational component to benefit the attendees along with a lessons learned information sharing segment. LEPC attendees include the U of U, Salt Lake Valley Health Department, Salt Lake County, Salt Lake City School District, State Home Land Security, Thather, Tesoro, SLC PD, Fire and other local agencies and companies.

The grant requires a \$800.00 match which will be satisfied with the Emergency Program Directors time which is budgeted for within the Departments general fund budget.

	Safety Homelan	.	
		d Security - Local nning Committee	
		_EPC Hazardous	
		anning Grant	
		ve Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-4			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			<b>Grant Requiring No New</b>
nergency Management Services			Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Cory Lyman / Sherrie Collins			535-7173 / 535-6150
Prepared By	(Noc	gative)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(1469	jativej	rositive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fisca	al Year	Annual
		Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	·		-
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		Ψυ	<del></del>
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	3,200.00	
T-1-1	•	0.000.00	0.0
Total	\$	3,200.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			C
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	HLS 2010-LEPC-SLC -20.703
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 3,200.00
72 NOW OOST COMO	1070	Ψ 0,200.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 3,200.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
David through from 110 David translate (12	Francisco de Chata	
Pass through from US Department of T	ransportation to State	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		Yes
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	Yes
		162
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	No
p. 0 000.01 .		110

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality - ARRA, SLC Digester Covers
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-5
Grants for Existing Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
The Public Utilities Department has been awarded a 100% principal forgiveness loan of \$150,000 under the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality, American Recovery And Reinvestmen Act of 2009 ("Recovery Act") Nonpoint Source Grant program.  This project will replace three buoyant 95 foot diameter anaerobic digester covers with buoyant coverspecifically designed for additional storage and complete containment of digester gas at increase pressures. The project will improve the City's ability to conserve energy and natural resources by maximizing its use of digester biogas with modern technologies. It is expected that the project wisave an estimated 190,000 kWh power and 800 Million BTU heat per year and will eliminate approximately 600 tons per yr of emissions in CO2 equivalent methane.  In April of 2009, The Utah Water Quality Board allocated \$6,920,000 to Public Utilities for this project. The \$150,000 is an additional amount allocated for the same project scope.

	Stat	e of Utah, Department of	
		mental Quality - ARRA - SL0	
		Digester Covers	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-5			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			<b>Grants for Existing Staff</b>
Public Utilities			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Jeff Neirmeyer / Sherrie Collins			483-6785 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	150,000.00	
Total	\$	150,000.00	\$C
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			C
FTE's:			
Position Title:			
į			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	66.454 - State Contract
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 150,000.00
72 New Gost Center	1370	Ψ 130,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2700	\$ 150,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
State Pass Through from Environmen	tal Protection Agency	
ARRA Funding	nai i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community or eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	Yes
		res
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or	No

# Initiative Name: State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources 2009 Land and Water Conservation **Fund Grant** Initiative Number: BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-6 **Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The Transportation Division of CED applied for and received a \$100,000. Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant from the State of Utah Department of Natural Resources. These funds were awarded for the restoration and completion of approximately 5,400 lineal feet of the Jordan River Parkway Trail between Redwood Road and the Salt Lake City/Davis County boundary. This is the final section of the trail to be completed. The planned project includes grading, constructing a ten foot wide paved pedestrian/bicycle path with a five foot soft trail for equestrian recreation, making improvements to the existing river bridges, new railing and constructing an elevated rail system over wetland areas. Of the \$100,000 of grant funds, the City will receive \$92,307.69. The State will retain \$7,692.31 for their grant administrative fees. The Transpiration Division applied for \$322,600 with a commitment of a 50% match of \$322,600. A Resolution was passed In February by the City Council which demonstrated the City's commitment to submit the grant application and meet the required match. This request will provide budget within the 72 grant fund for the City to accept the \$92,307.69. The required match amount of \$100,000 will be met by funds previoulsy allocated to the CIP for trails.

	Stat	te of Utah, Department of Natural	
	R	esources 2009 Land and Water	
		Conservation Fund Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-6			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
OFR			Grants Requiring No
<u>CED</u>			New Staff Resources
Department Pan Bergenthal / Sherrie Collins	_		Type of Initiative 535-7106 / 535-6150
Prepared By	<u>a</u>		Telephone Contact
1 repaired by		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
T-1-1		Φ0	Φ.
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72		\$ 92,307.69	
83 Total		\$ 92,307.69	\$0
lotai		92,307.09	20
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue:	Crane " and Or BA " ii Applicable.		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$	92,307.69
72 NOW GOOL GOING!	1070	<b>—</b>	02,007.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72 New Cost Center	2700	\$	92,307.69
Additional Accounting Details:			
ا Pass through from US Department o	of Transportation to State		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Stant funds employee positions?			INA
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		No
f grant is funding a position is it o	expected the position will		
pe eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?		No
 Will grant program be complete ir	grant funding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		N <sub>0</sub>
eliminated?			No
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

## Initiative Name: State of Utah, Certified Local Government (CLG) Program, Intensive Level Survey **Historic Preservation Grant** Initiative Number: BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7 **Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The State of Utah, Department of Community and Culture awarded the Salt Lake City Planning Division a \$40,700 Certified Local Government grant to begin the process of a reconnaissance-level survey (RLS), and national register nominations of the Liberty Wells and Westmoreland Neighborhoods and provide an inventory and history of Liberty Park, Memory Grove Park and Fairmont Park and refine the history of Reservoir Park. Of these funds, \$18,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to complete the RLS for the Liberty Wells Neighborhood and expand the National Register for Historic Preservation (NRHP) district within the Liberty Wells Neighborhood; \$5,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to complete an RLS and NHRP district nomination for the Westmoreland Neighborhood; \$5,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to prepare the Liberty Park history, the Memory Grove and Fairmont Parks inventory and history, and refine the history of Reservoir Park; \$4,700 will be used for supplies, postage and news announcements pertaining to the nominations; and \$8,000 will be used for 3 planning personnel to attend the National Trust Perservation Conference. The grant requires a \$40,700 match which will be satisfied with the Preservation Planning Staff time and is budgeted for within the Planning Division's budget. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Certified Local Government Grant Agreements and to receive any other donations or grants that stem from the original Agreement.

_		Utah, Certified Local	
G		(CLG) Program, Intensiv	
	Level Surve	y Historic Preservation Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7		initiative rame	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
ilitiative Harrison			Grants Requiring No
CED			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Janice Lew / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7265/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact		C'I V	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual Impact Amount
General Fund		npact Amount	impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		40	-
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	40,700.00	
Tatal	Φ.	40.700.00	Ф.
Total	\$	40,700.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			
FTE's:			
Position Title:			
i osidon ride.			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		TBD
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$	Amount 47,000.00
72 New Cost Center	1370	Φ	47,000.00
Expenditure:			A
Cost Center Number 72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2590	\$	47,000.00
72 Now Good Collect	2000	<u> </u>	11,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details.			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			No
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran			NA
Will grant program be complete in g			Yes
			162
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant tunds are		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

# Initiative Name: **US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Fire Prevention Safety Grant** Initiative Number: BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-8 **Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The Fire Department received a \$133,000 grant from the US Federal Emergency Management Agency to purchase 19 apparatus mounted direct capture and diesel exhaust extraction systems for the City's 19 front line apparatus. The vehicle mounted units will provide direct capture of diesel fumes and particulates and replace the existing building mounted systems in 14 stations where fire personnel sleep. The current building mounted exhaust systems are aging and of the 14 stations, most of the systems fail to operate. Firefighters use fans and open bay doors to move diesel exhaust out of the building. The cost to replace the building mounted exhaust systems is approximately \$350,000 for all 14 stations. The cost of purchasing the apparatus mounted exhaust for 19 vehicles is \$166,250, or a 47% savings. The Airport Fire installed vehicle mounted diesel exhaust units on their apparatus in 2005. Fleet Management reports that the units have been repair free for the past four years with annual filter replacement. Annual filter replacement would be approximately \$200 per unit. The grant required match of \$33,250 will be satisfied within the Fire Departments general fund

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Federal Emergency Management Agency grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the

maintenance budget.

original grant.

		nergency Management Fire Prevention Safety Grant	
	Ini	tiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-8			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Fire Department			<u>Donation</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			799-4210 / 535-6150
Prepared By	-	Mogativa	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(1	Negative)	Positive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:	F	iscal Year	Annual
		act Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		400 000 00	
72	\$	133,000.00	
Total	\$	133,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	TBD
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	1360	\$ 133,000.00
Expenditure:	Object Code Novel	A
Cost Center Number 77	Object Code Number 2590	<b>Amount</b> \$ 133,000.00
11	2590	\$ 133,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Federal Grant -		
Grant Information:		NA NA
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex	nected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:				
Utah Hospital Association Donation				
Initiative Number:				
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #F-1				
Donations				
Initiative Discussion:				
The Fire Department received a \$93,000 donation from the Utah Hospital Association to purchase eleven, 12-Lead cardiac monitoring devices. These monitors will be used in combination with Fire's current cardiac monitors by the Department's trained paramedics to provide additional life saving opportunities. The cardiac monitors and software will provide pre-hospital screening of heart patients allowing the hospital to minimize time spent providing additional cardiac monitoring when the patient arrives at the hospital. Currently the paramedics verbally transfer patient information to the hospital via phones. The monitors will electronically send the information allowing the hospital to monitor the patient's information from the cardiac monitoring equipment the paramedics use on scene. This will reduce the time required before a patient receives the advanced life saving capabilities the hospital can provide.  The hospital association is providing these awards to many local fire departments because it has been proven these monitors improve the quality of treatment provided and saves lives.  This request is to provide budget in the Fire Departments Trust so that the donation can be accepted and the equipment purchased.				

<u>J</u>	Jtah Ho	ospital Association Donation	on
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #F-1			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Fire Department			<u>Donation</u>
Department  John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 799-4210 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
i ispanos zy		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ΨΟ	Ψ(
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		00 000 00	
77	\$	93,000.00	
Total	\$	93,000.00	\$(
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	<b>Private Funding Source</b>
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77 New Cost Center	1895	\$ 93,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 93,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Betails.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	NA
If amount in four discussions (1997)	armonto di the mentiti ara sasti	
If grant is funding a position is it e		NIA
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
		127
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No