SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT BUDGET AMENDMENT #4 – FISCAL YEAR 2009-10

DATE:	May 25, 2010
SUBJECT:	Budget Amendment #4
STAFF REPORT BY:	Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver, Karen Halladay and Jennifer Bruno
CC:	David Everitt, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Kurt Cook, Rick Graham, Jeff Niermeyer, Kay Christensen, Randy Hillier, Shannon Ashby, and Sherrie Collins

NEW INFORMATION

Two items have been added since the May 4^{th} briefing for the Council's consideration:

Item D-10: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) Budget Adjustment (shifting existing grant funds - no new funds needed)

The Administration is requesting the Council allow the shifting of resources within the City's EECBG Grant. Due to federal guidelines, money can only be shifted to projects that were defined in the City's original grant application – therefore these funds cannot be used for new projects. Additionally, funds must be spent within the timeframe of the grant, which expires next fiscal year. The following describes the various shifts:

- 1. The Administration is proposing to shift the \$415,000 previously allocated to an Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund (to provide loans to businesses to upgrade their systems), to the Transportation Division's LED Streetlighting project.
 - The proposal to use EECBG funds for an Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund (E2-RLF) was deemed problematic. This was due to the significant number of reporting requirements, including Davis Bacon wage reporting, fiscal reporting, environmental reviews, and Buy America requirements for any business that received a loan, no matter how small. These reporting requirements and restrictions would last long after the grant award, and the Administration has determined that this would be overly burdensome on small businesses. The Administration has proposed to earmark \$250,000 of the City's Revolving Loan Fund for this purpose instead, in order to avoid these restrictions. The Council may wish to consider this recommendation in the full context of the City's Revolving Loan Fund Program.
 - The timing of this shift will also allow the Transportation division to test LED Streetlights in areas that may have otherwise felt the impact of the FY 2011 budget proposal to reduce mid-block streetlights.

- 2. The Administration is also proposing to shift \$25,000 that had previously been allocated for the Downtown Alliance's LED Christmas lights towards the solar hot water project for Fire Station #8.
 - The Downtown Alliance's request is no longer eligible for EECBG grant funds because the LED lights were made in China (a violation of the "Buy America" requirement attached to the grant.)
 - The original request for the solar hot water project at Fire Station #8 was \$32,370. After further design, Engineering is now estimating that the project could cost \$70,000, although final bids have not been received.
 - Shifting these funds would give the Fire Station project \$57,370. The remaining \$12,630 could come from cost savings in other EECBG projects. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration if it is confident that other projects will in fact have unspent funds.*

Item I-1 Upgrading microphones in the Council Chamber and Committee of the Whole Room \$ Cost TBD (Source: fund balance of the General Fund)

The microphones in the Council Chamber and Committee of the Whole Room are not working properly and need to be replaced and upgraded. The system is over 20 years old and the City is receiving complaints from those in attendance at the meetings, from SLCVT viewers, and from those who are listening to, or watching Council meetings, Planning Commission and other meetings online. The system was installed before it was anticipated that there would be a need for broadcasting and rebroadcasting. The rooms are utilized for the City Council, Historic Landmarks Commission, Planning Commission, and the RDA Advisory Committee. The rooms are also booked regularly by community organizations. IMS anticipates that the cost could be \$45,000 to \$50,000 to address the recording systems in both rooms.

The following information was previously provided in Council packets for the budget amendment briefing on May 4, 2010. It is provided again for your information.

Budget Amendment Number Four contains 31 adjustments as proposed by the Administration. There are 2 initiatives affecting the general fund for a total increase of \$48,000.

Most of the grant related items will not have a write-up because of the Council's review earlier in the process (through announcements and/or the consent agenda). However, there are a few that staff would like to bring to your attention, because of potential interest to the Council:

- Item B-2, US Dept of Education Grant to the Salt Lake City School District, Subgranted to YouthCity Artways (\$81,930 to split between FY 2010 & 2011).
- Item E-1, Police In-Car Technology Grant (awarded by UT State Police) to improve efficiency of issuing moving violations and processing fines. Grant will pay for program in 285 cars. Estimated to save 6,100 hours of employee time annually.

Item E-7, Historic Preservation Grant (awarded by the State of Utah) - \$40,700 to begin reconnaissance-level survey of Liberty Wells and Westmoreland Neighborhoods, and to provide an inventory and document the histories of Liberty Park, Memory Grove Park, Fairmont Park, and Reservoir Park. \$40,700 match to be provided by Planning Division budget (using existing staff time).

The Council requests a current-year revenue forecast with each budget amendment. **Overall the Administration is forecasting that revenues could be another \$1.6 million lower than budgeted**. The Administration indicates that Property Tax revenue has declined due to the judgment the City received on the re-calculation for the value of taxes paid by airlines. This results in a decrease in property tax revenue of \$912,265.

• The City is able to recoup this loss in the next budget year by the process of a "Judgment Levy" for the FY 2011 budget (*this is effectively a one-time, one-year property tax increase to recoup these lost funds*). The Council can forgo this option, and accept the loss in revenue.

Sales tax revenues also continue to decline (\$270,537). License and Permit revenue are also showing a decline. The Administration indicates that although commercial and residential permits are higher as compared to last year, the value of the permits and licenses are significantly lower. Franchise Tax revenue is higher than projected by \$245,000; however, Interest Income is lower by \$318,689. Fines and Forfeitures revenue is lower than projected by \$217,577 due to a lower number of parking tickets being issued. Parking meter revenue is higher than expected by \$555,873 due to fees charged for bagged meters generally associated with construction. Miscellaneous revenue is also higher than projected by \$246,726.

The Administration has indicated to Council Staff that despite the additional \$1.6 million drop in revenue, the City will still finish the year balanced because the Council did not appropriate the "new growth" that was allowed by the County in FY 2010. In addition the City received a one-time settlement relating to a disputed amount with an outside company. These two sources will allow the City to finish the year in a balanced state.

The Administration classified the following as: <u>New Items</u>:

A-1: Creation of Eight Deputy Chief positions in the Police Department (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing a reorganization of several administrative level positions within the Salt Lake City Police Department. Advantages of the reorganization include: 1) the involvement of more officers in the day-to-day decision making operations of the department; 2) flattening of the organization, or in other words, removal of one level of the traditional command structure; and 3) promotion opportunities for officers. Details of the proposed changes are as follows:

Responsibility	New Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the	Old Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the	
----------------	--	--	--

	Police Chief	Police Chief
Facilities Development	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Administration	Deputy Chief (004)	Lieutenant (pay grade 822)
Communication and	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Records		
Fusion	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Investigations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)
Management Services	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)
Patrol	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)
Special Operations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)

Note: • This position is responsible for overseeing the Public Safety Building (PSB). The function was added during the reorganization without the addition of a new FTE.

Salary increases did occur with this reorganization. However, the Police Department eliminated a sergeant position to offset the pay increase. These changes resulted in a net savings of \$14,000 for fiscal year 2010. This budget amendment does not reflect an expenditure budget increase. Lastly, the reorganization would allow the future elimination of two captain positions upon attrition. Future savings are estimated to be \$280,700 annually once the positions become vacant.

This budget amendment does not increase or decrease the fiscal year 2010 budget for the Police Department.

A-2: Police Department Overtime Reimbursement (\$105,000 – Source: General Fund)

The Police Department participates in Special Task Force activities and receives reimbursement for the overtime incurred while doing this work. Overtime costs and the expected reimbursement are estimated, included, and adopted during the annual budget process. This budget amendment request is to adjust the revenue/reimbursement and expenditures projections for the actual overtime incurred and the reimbursement or revenues received in the amount of \$105,000.

A-3: Request for increased allocation to the Donation Fund – Receipts and Interest (\$300,000 – Source: Donation Fund)

The Administration utilizes a "master budget" for donation receipts and related interest earned. This allows the Administration to have the necessary appropriation so that as donations or interest income are received, they can have quick access to the funds. The budget is generally kept at \$100,000 and restored as donations come in and the appropriation is spent down. In Budget Amendment #2 for FY 2010, the Council replenished the \$100,000 allocation for interest on existing and new donations. The appropriation has already been allocated to individual funds. The Administration indicates an additional \$26,000 could have been allocated had it been available. The Administration is requesting that the Council replenish the \$100,000 and add an additional \$200,000 for a total allocation of \$300,000 to ensure adequate budget until the end of the fiscal year. The Administration's transmittal provides a list of how the appropriation was used in Budget Amendment #2.

A-4: E-911 Increase in Charges from Qwest (\$108,000 – Source: E-911 Fund)

The City pays Qwest a monthly service fee for the E-911 system. After a review, Qwest found that the fee needs to be increased from \$23,000 to \$32,000 per month. The transmittal notes that review is to be performed annually; however, it has been three years

since Qwest's last audit of the costs to maintain the system. The ongoing increase in cost will be reflected in the Police Department's FY 2011 expense budget.

A-5: Central Business Improvement Area Fiscal Year 2010 Revenue and Expense Budgets (\$53,903 – Source: Central Business Improvement Assessment Area)

This is an adjustment to the Central Business Improvement Area for Fiscal Year 2010. Expense budgets were under-estimated during the creation of the Fiscal Year 2010 budget for Downtown Alliance activities. The expense budget needs to increase to cover the full first quarterly payment to the Downtown Alliance (from \$157,127 to \$205,425) and cover a portion of the CED Management payment (\$5,605), for a total expenditure increase of \$53,903. The revenue budget (revenue from the BID) will also need to be increased in this amount to ensure that this fund finishes out FY 2010 in a balanced state.

A-6: Changes to Mayor's Office Administrative Assistant position (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing to change an existing Administrative Assistant position to a newly created Policy Assistant to the Mayor. This position change will entail no change in pay grade or pay. This change is to adjust the job responsibilities to more accurately reflect the needs of the Mayor's Office.

A-7: Electrical Vehicle Charging Stations – First Phase (Source: Public Services Budget)

The Administration requests approval to install electric vehicle (EV) charging units in 5 locations for public use. Each unit would be connected to an electrical supply currently metered for the City. The Administration estimates minimal electricity usage, and as such, the City will not charge a user fee at this time. The cost to purchase and install the charging units is estimated to be a total of \$12,400. The transmittal indicates the electricity costs would be covered with existing Facility's Division budget. The proposed site locations include:

1. Library Square parking garage (south wall west of boiler room)
2. Liberty Park (west side near tennis facility's pro shop)
3. Forest Dale Golf Course (north end of lot next to freeway right of way)
4. & 5. Two locations in the Downtown Central Business District (50 East 300
South, north side of street; and 135 South Main, east side)

Other locations could also be considered:

- 70 South State Street (east side)
- 240 South West Temple (west side)
- City & County Building (last stall, southeast corner of Washington Square)

Once the stations are installed, information will be added to the City's website to provide locations, instructions and additional information. *The Administration indicates this is the first phase of the project. The Council may wish to ask with regards to the next steps or phases. Also, the transmittal indicates that the City will bear the cost of electricity usage at this time. The Council may wish to ask if there will be a trial period or whether the Administration intends to assume the electrical costs for the long-term.*

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Additional Staff Resources

C-1: FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant (\$969,638 – Source: Grant Fund)

The City is in the final stages of the grant application process for the SAFER grant. This budget request is contingent upon the City receiving the grant award, which the City may know for sure by the end of this fiscal year. If the City receives this grant, the Fire Department will be able to hire nine (9) firefighters for FY 2011. The grant award will pay for the salaries and benefits for these firefighters for a period of two years. As a condition of the grant award, the City must commit to keep these firefighters for a third year at the City's cost (estimated at \$500,620), and must certify that no other firefighters will be eliminated during the three year period.

- The Council may wish to note that in the third year of the program (FY 2013), this will necessitate a base budget increase of \$500,620.
- The Council may also wish to note that between now and FY 2013, no firefighter positions may be eliminated. Depending on the City's revenues, this could mean that there will need to be disproportionate reductions in other City Departments.
- > The Police Department is currently receiving a COPS grant award for positions that has similar conditions.

The Administration classified the following as: <u>Housekeeping</u>

D-1: Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant (\$800,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item establishes a revenue and expense budget in the Fleet Fund to record the purchase of two Fire Apparatus vehicles that were funded in the City's Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant award. UASI is a program run by the State Division of Homeland Security. The grant (\$800,000) was awarded to the City's Emergency Management Division to allow for the purchase of Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solutions vehicles.

D-2: CIP CDBG Recapture (\$189,000)

The Administration is requesting to recapture a total of \$189,000 of funding from budgets of two CDBG projects. Because of a very favorable bidding environment, Engineering was able to achieve a savings of \$109,000 on the Burbank Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Dr.), and \$80,000 on the Bell Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Rd.) As a reminder, this particular CDBG funding is additional stimulus funding from HUD that the City received last year. Although this funding was awarded during the 2010-11 CDBG process, this is the accounting mechanism which allows the funding to be recaptured. The Council has already allocated these funds toward the Sidewalk Replacement Program in the FY 2011 CDBG process that was recently completed.

D-3: CDBG Recapture

This item was pulled by the Administration.

D-4: CDBG Program Income

This item was pulled by the Administration.

D-5: Library Parking Garage Operations (\$153,000 – Source: General Fund)

As a result of the fiscal year 2009-10 budget process, the City was to assume the operations and management of the Library parking garage on July 1, 2009; however, at that time, the City had not determined whether to manage the parking garage or have a contractor manage it. The City has since hired a contractor. Each month the revenue collected pays the contractor's expenses. If revenues are more than expenses, a check is issued to the City by the contractor. This request will amend the budget to reflect this change.

D-6: Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds (\$281,815 – Source: Interest Income)

Interest has been earned over the past year on the unspent bond proceeds of several sales tax revenue bonds which were used to finance City projects. The below table includes details and the interest earned on each project:

Bond Series	Project	Earı B	terest ned this udget Cycle
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A	Grant Tower Rail Reconfiguration	\$\$	8,197
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A	TRAX Extension from Energy Solutions Arena to Intermodal Hub	\$	4,579
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A	Public Services Maintenance Facility	\$	178,015
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A	Barnes Bank Office Building	\$	38,750
GO Bonds Series 2009B	Leonardo	\$	52,274

Interest earnings are considered bond proceeds and can be spent only on project costs for that bond issuance. When the project is completed, any unspent interest earnings can be applied to the interest portion of future debt service payments on the outstanding bonds of the project.

This budget amendment is to appropriate the interest earnings to the above listed individual project Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) accounts.

D-7: Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant (\$78,750 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item corrects an incorrect object code accounting processed in Budget Amendment #3. The City's Emergency Management Division received a \$1.476 million UASI grant, and in Budget Amendment #3, \$78,750 was accidentally coded to "cash", which caused a budget deficit in the correct object code, which is used for salaries. This action will correct the coding of the \$78,750.

D-8: Barnes Bank Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds (\$939,076 reduction in budget authority)

This action adjusts the original budget created for the Barnes Bank Building purchase and renovation. In 2009, the Council established a budget of \$8.2 million for the overall project (funded with Sales Tax Bonds issued in 2009). Before the bonds were sold, the City decided to use the Surplus Land Account for a portion of the property (fronting 400 South), to maintain flexibility in the ultimate development of the land (so as not to run afoul of tax-

exempt use requirements relating to the bond). Therefore, the full \$8.2 million is not needed for the project. This action reduces the budget for the project from \$8.2 million to \$7,260,923.65. The Council may wish to ask the Administration for a status update regarding the future use of the Barnes Bank Building as it relates to the future Public Safety Complex.

D-9: Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase (\$767,000 – Source: Golf Fund)

The Golf Enterprise Fund plans to lease 25 golf carts and 25 pieces of mowing and golf course maintenance equipment through the City's master lease agreement with Banc of America. The lease term for the golf carts is 5 years with payments due every 6 months at a lease rate of 2.68%. The lease term for the moving and course maintenance equipment is 3 years at a rate of 2.09%. (Note: The contract has not been finalized, so lease rate could change slightly.) The first debt-service payment will be due in November of 2010, and lease payments have been budgeted for FY 2011. Upon completion of the payments, the City Golf Fund will have ownership of the golf carts and equipment.

This budget amendment provides tracking of the accounting activity, not the cash flow activity, in the Banc of America escrow account. (Background: The City accounts for the activity in the escrow account in the following way: 1) An approved schedule of vehicle planned purchases (Purchase Orders have been issued) is sent to the City's lease provider, Banc of America, 2) Banc of America puts money in an escrow account and establishes the City's debt service schedule, 3) the City's Fleet receives and accepts an item or vehicle it has ordered, 4) Fleet receives an invoice for the item/vehicle and submits it to Banc of America, 5) Banc of America pays invoice, and reduces the escrow, 6) City makes scheduled debt service payments, 7) upon completion of debt service payments City assumes ownership of items/vehicles. Additionally, once all invoices have been paid by Banc of America the escrow account is closed.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense budgets of \$767,000 to reflect the amount of future lease payments for the golf carts and golf course maintenance equipment.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

The Administration classified the following as: **Donations**

F-1: Donation: Utah Hospital Association (\$93,000 – Source: Donation Fund)

The Fire Department received a donation from the Utah Hospital Association to purchase eleven cardiac monitoring devices to be used by the Department's paramedics. The monitors will provide pre-hospital screening of heart patients, reducing additional monitoring time when patients arrive at the hospital. Instead of providing patient information verbally to the hospital, the monitors will send the information electronically to hospitals, thus improving quality of patient care. This request is to provide the budget so that the donation can be accepted and the equipment purchased.

The Administration classified the following as:

Cost Overruns

NONE

Council Added Items

NONE



RALPH	BECKER
MA	YOR

SALT'LAKE; GITY CORPORATION

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

CITY COUNCIL REVISED TRANSMITTAL

David Everitt. Chief of Staff

TO: Salt Lake City Council J.T. Martin, Chair Date Received: <u>05/17/201</u>0 Date sent to Council: <u>05/18/201</u>0

DATE: May 17,, 2010

FROM: David Everitt, Chief of Staff

SUBJECT: Budget Opening #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10

STAFF CONTACT: Gina Chamness, Budget Director (801) 535-7766 Gordon Hoskins, Deputy Director/Finance Director Administrative Services (801) 535-6394

DOCUMENT TYPE: Budget Amendment Ordinance

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the budget amendment #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

BUDGET IMPACT: General Fund (\$48,000.00) Other Funds \$4,013,034.75 CIP Fund \$142,738.65

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

RECEIV

NIN 1 8 200

Salt Lake City Mayor

There are 7 new items with 1 of them that have an impact on the general fund. There is no

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 306 P.O. BOX 145474, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5474 TELEPHONE: 801-535-7704 FAX: 801-535-6331 www.slcgov.com

RECYCLED PAPER

General Fund fund balance impact.

Item #1 Addresses the new organization in the Police Department.

Item #7 Addresses the personnel changes in the Mayor's Office.

In housekeeping there are 8 items dealing with primarily corrections in the CIP with recapture, and project adjustments. There are adjustments in the budget for bonding projects to the actual bonds issued. Items #D-2 and #D-3 were PULLED by the administration.

There are 14 items with new grants that need an appropriation.

There is 1 item with donations from the Utah Hospital Assoc for funding of 12 lead cardiac monitoring devices for the Fire Department.

PUBLIC PROCESS: Public Hearing

Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment #4 –June

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New I	tems				
1.	Deputy Chief Positions in Police Department	\$.00					
2.	Police Service Overtime Reimbursement	\$105,000.00			\$105,000.00		
3.	Donation Fund Receipts and Interest	\$300,000.00					
4.	E-911 Increase Charges from Qwest	\$108,000.00					
5.	Central Business Improv Area Revenue and Expense	\$53,903.00					
6.	Mayor's Office change Admin Assist to Policy Assist	\$.00					
7.	Public Electrical Vehicle Charging Stations	\$.00					
	Section B	Grants For Exist	ng Staff Resource	es			
1.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$42,764.03					
2.	SLC School District Youth City Artways Grant	\$81,930.00					
3.	Ut State SLC Bicycle Safety Project Grant	\$12,876.00					
4.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$45,315.42					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
5.	Ut State Homeland Security Terrorism Prevention Grant	\$148,486.00					
	Section C	Grants For New	Staff Resources				
1.	FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant	\$969,638.00					
	Section D	Housekeeping					
1. 2. 3.	Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant PULLED - CIP CDBG Recaptures PULLED - CDBG Recapture	\$800,000.00					
4.	CDBG Program Income	\$125,943.61					
5.	Library Parking Garage Operations	-\$153,000.00			-\$153,000.00		
6.	Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds	\$281,815.00					
7.	Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant	\$78,750.00					
8.	Barnes Bank Bldg Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds	-\$939,076.35					
9.	Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase	\$767,000.00					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
10.	Transfer EECBG Grant Funding from Revolving Loans to LED Street Lighting	\$400,000.00					
	Section E	Grants Requiring	No New Staff Rea	sources			
1.	Ut State Police In Car Technology Grant	\$40,000.00					
2.	Ut State Homeland Security Metropolitan Medical Response System Grant	\$321,221.00					
3.	Police Department Nation Crime Victims' Right Week Community Awareness Project Grant	\$5,000.00					
4.	Ut State Homeland Security Local Emerg Planning Committee Hazardous Material Grant	\$3,200.00					
5.	Ut State Environmental Quality digester Covers Grant	\$150,000.00					
6.	Ut State Natural Resource Land and Water Conservation Grant	\$92,307.69					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
7.	Ut State Intensive Level Survey Historic Preservation Grant	\$40,700.00					
8.	FEMA Fire Assistance to Firefighter Grant	\$133,000.00					
	Section F	Donations					
1.	Utah Hospital Association	\$93,000.00					

Initiative Name:

Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) Budget Adjustment

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

The Sustainability Department received a \$2,116,500 EECBG from the Department of Energy which was brought into the 72 grant fund during FY10 Budget Amendment #2, Initiative #E-10. This request is to make two budget adjustments within the grant and does not require any additional allocation of funds.

At the time of the budget opening, Sustainability proposed to allocate \$400,000 to the Energy Efficient Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) that would provide approximately 80 loans between \$5,000 and \$100,000 to for-profit businesses to upgrade the energy efficiency of their buildings and \$79,372 to hire a coordinator to manage the RLF. The E2-RLF was to be administered through the City's Economic Development Division who currently manages the City's small business RLF. Since then, the DOE has discouraged Cities from initiating the RLF program with the grant funds because of the federal reporting requirements which are extremely labor intensive and would continue for the life of the RLF program. The grant funded RLF would generate program income from the payments received on the loans. The program income received would trigger all of the federal reporting requirements including, Davis Bacon wage reporting, environmental reviews, Buy America, number of jobs created or retained per project and fiscal reporting long after the grant ends. The City could end this program by switching the funds to another established project that was approved in the original application or by repaying the funds to the DOE. In addition, time constraints of when the funds need to be spent or allocated to projects is not conducive to the actual time frame feasible for the grant related RLF. The City would lose the funds if not spent or loaned within the existing time frame of the grant.

The DOE will allow the City to move these funds without triggering a prior DOE approval to another project that the City was approved to do in the grant award. The involved parties agree that the funds would be better utilized by being allocated to the Transportation Divisions LED street light project which was initially awarded \$415,000.

In addition and because the RLF is such a popular incentive within the business community, the Economic Development Division is proposing to allocate \$250,000 from their existing revolving loan fund to develop and establish an E2-RLF program. Utilizing their funding source would not require following federal regulations attached to grants.

The grant award also budgeted \$25,000 for Downtown Alliance to purchase 5,000 strand of three watt LED holiday lights, however, Downtown Alliance purchased the lights before the grant was awarded by the DOE and the lights were made in China which is in violation of the Buy America regulation attached to this grant. This made Downtown Alliance ineligible to receive the grant funds freeing the \$25,000 to be used for another project. The solar hot water project for Fire Station #8 was allocated \$32,370, with approximately \$10,000 spent on design. The Engineering estimate for the project is approximately \$70,000 which includes options. Since it is unknown at this time what the final bid will be, Sustainability is recommending allocating the \$25,000 for the Fire Station #8. Any additional increases in costs for the fire station project will be handled with remaining grant funds from other projects that are completed.

	-			
		ergy Efficiency and Conservat		
		Block Grant (EECBG) Budget Adjustment	-	
	ĺ	Initiative Name	[
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10		initiative Name		2009-10
Initiative Number	Г			Fiscal Year
<u>CED</u>	L			Housekeeping
Department	Γ			Type of Initiative
Vicki Bennett/Sherrie Collins	L			<u>535-535-6150</u>
Prepared By	ſ			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)		Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-				
Impact				
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year		Annual
		Impact Amount		Impact Amount
General Fund				
		* ~		^
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0		\$0
Internal Service Fund				
Total		\$0		\$0
		م 0		\$0
Enterprise Fund				
Total		\$0		\$0
Other Fund		\$		φ3
Total				\$C
	_			
Staffing Impact:				
				0
			I	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2008-UASI-001 97.067
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
		Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	
Cost Center Multiper		
Additional Assessmentian Detailer		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Cront Information		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Grant runus employee positions:		
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	nt?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community c eliminated?	once the grant funds are	
emmateu :		NA
Deep grant dunligate convices and	uided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?		NIA
Non-pront sector?		NA

SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT BUDGET AMENDMENT #4 – FISCAL YEAR 2009-10

DATE:	May 4, 2010
SUBJECT:	Budget Amendment #4
STAFF REPORT BY:	Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver, Karen Halladay and Jennifer Bruno
CC:	David Everitt, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Kurt Cook, Rick Graham, Jeff Niermeyer, Susi Kontgis, Kay Christensen, Randy Hillier, Shannon Ashby, and Sherrie Collins,

Budget Amendment Number Four contains 31 adjustments as proposed by the Administration. There are 2 initiatives affecting the general fund for a total increase of \$48,000.

Most of the grant related items will not have a write-up because of the Council's review earlier in the process (through announcements and/or the consent agenda). However, there are a few that staff would like to bring to your attention, because of potential interest to the Council:

- Item B-2, US Dept of Education Grant to the Salt Lake City School District, Subgranted to YouthCity Artways (\$81,930 to split between FY 2010 & 2011).
- Item E-1, Police In-Car Technology Grant (awarded by UT State Police) to improve efficiency of issuing moving violations and processing fines. Grant will pay for program in 285 cars. Estimated to save 6,100 hours of employee time annually.
- Item E-7, Historic Preservation Grant (awarded by the State of Utah) \$40,700 to begin reconnaissance-level survey of Liberty Wells and Westmoreland Neighborhoods, and to provide an inventory and document the histories of Liberty Park, Memory Grove Park, Fairmont Park, and Reservoir Park. \$40,700 match to be provided by Planning Division budget (using existing staff time).

The Council requests a current-year revenue forecast with each budget amendment. **Overall the Administration is forecasting that revenues could be another \$1.6 million lower than budgeted**. The Administration indicates that Property Tax revenue has declined due to the judgment the City received on the re-calculation for the value of taxes paid by airlines. This results in a decrease in property tax revenue of \$912,265.

• The City is able to recoup this loss in the next budget year by the process of a "Judgment Levy" for the FY 2011 budget (*this is effectively a one-time, one-year property tax increase to recoup these lost funds*). The Council can forgo this option, and accept the loss in revenue.

Sales tax revenues also continue to decline (\$270,537). License and Permit revenue are also showing a decline. The Administration indicates that although commercial and

residential permits are higher as compared to last year, the value of the permits and licenses are significantly lower. Franchise Tax revenue is higher than projected by \$245,000; however, Interest Income is lower by \$318,689. Fines and Forfeitures revenue is lower than projected by \$217,577 due to a lower number of parking tickets being issued. Parking meter revenue is higher than expected by \$555,873 due to fees charged for bagged meters generally associated with construction. Miscellaneous revenue is also higher than projected by \$246,726.

The Administration has indicated to Council Staff that despite the additional \$1.6 million drop in revenue, the City will still finish the year balanced because the Council did not appropriate the "new growth" that was allowed by the County in FY 2010. In addition the City received a one-time settlement relating to a disputed amount with an outside company. These two sources will allow the City to finish the year in a balanced state.

Key Elements

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, the Administration has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows:

- A. "New" those items that are new issues.
- B. "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Employees involved with these projects may have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)
- C. "Grant requiring additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.
- D. "Housekeeping" -- those items classified by the Administration as strictly accounting actions that do not have policy implications.
- E. "Grants requiring No New Staff Resources" those grants that provide funding for costs that are not associated with positions.
- F. "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.
- G. "Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards" These items have been previously approved on the Council's Consent Agenda.
- H. "Follow-up on Previously Approved Items" those items that were approved in a previous budget amendment but require some additional adjustments.
- I. "Council Added" items which have been added by the City Council.

The Administration classified the following as: <u>New Items</u>:

A-1: Creation of Eight Deputy Chief positions in the Police Department (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing a reorganization of several administrative level positions within the Salt Lake City Police Department. Advantages of the reorganization include: 1) the involvement of more officers in the day-to-day decision making operations of the department; 2) flattening of the organization, or in other words, removal of one level of the traditional command structure; and 3) promotion opportunities for officers. Details of the proposed changes are as follows:

Responsibility	New Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the Police Chief	Old Position (Appointed Grade) Office of the Police Chief		
Facilities Development	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)		
Administration	Deputy Chief (004)	Lieutenant (pay grade 822)		
Communication and Records	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)		
Fusion	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)		
Investigations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)		
Management Services	Deputy Chief (004)	Captain (pay grade 830)		
Patrol	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)		
Special Operations	Deputy Chief (004)	Assistant to Chief (004)		

Note: • This position is responsible for overseeing the Public Safety Building (PSB). The function was added during the reorganization without the addition of a new FTE.

Salary increases did occur with this reorganization. However, the Police Department eliminated a Sargeant position to offset the pay increase. These changes resulted in a net savings of \$14,000 for fiscal year 2010. This budget amendment does not reflect an expenditure budget increase. Lastly, the reorganization would allow the future elimination of two captain positions upon attrition. Future savings are estimated to be \$280,700 annually once the positions become vacant.

This budget amendment does not increase or decrease the fiscal year 2010 budget for the Police Department.

A-2: Police Department Overtime Reimbursement (\$105,000 – Source: General Fund)

The Police Department participates in Special Task Force activities and receives reimbursement for the overtime incurred while doing this work. Overtime costs and the expected reimbursement are estimated, included, and adopted during the annual budget process. This budget amendment request is to adjust the revenue/reimbursement and expenditures projections for the actual overtime incurred and the reimbursement or revenues received in the amount of \$105,000.

A-3: Request for increased allocation to the Donation Fund – Receipts and Interest (\$300,000 – Source: Donation Fund)

The Administration utilizes a "master budget" for donation receipts and related interest earned. This allows the Administration to have the necessary appropriation so that as donations or interest income are received, they can have quick access to the funds. The budget is generally kept at \$100,000 and restored as donations come in and the appropriation is spent down. In Budget Amendment #2 for FY 2010, the Council replenished the \$100,000 allocation for interest on existing and new donations. The appropriation has already been allocated to individual funds. The Administration indicates an additional \$26,000 could have been allocated had it been available. The Administration is requesting that the Council replenish the \$100,000 and add an additional \$200,000 for a total allocation of \$300,000 to ensure adequate budget until the end of the fiscal year. The Administration's transmittal provides a list of how the appropriation was used in Budget Amendment #2.

A-4: E-911 Increase in Charges from Qwest (\$108,000 – Source: E-911 Fund)

The City pays Qwest a monthly service fee for the E-911 system. After a review, Qwest found that the fee needs to be increased from \$23,000 to \$32,000 per month. The transmittal notes that review is to be performed annually; however, it has been three years since Qwest's last audit of the costs to maintain the system. The ongoing increase in cost will be reflected in the Police Department's FY 2011 expense budget.

A-5: Central Business Improvement Area Fiscal Year 2010 Revenue and Expense Budgets (\$53,903 – Source: Central Business Improvement Assessment Area)

This is an adjustment to the Central Business Improvement Area for Fiscal Year 2010. Expense budgets were under-estimated during the creation of the Fiscal Year 2010 budget for Downtown Alliance activities. The expense budget needs to increase to cover the full first quarterly payment to the Downtown Alliance (from \$157,127 to \$205,425) and cover a portion of the CED Management payment (\$5,605), for a total expenditure increase of \$53,903. The revenue budget (revenue from the BID) will also need to be increased in this amount to ensure that this fund finishes out FY 2010 in a balanced state.

A-6: Changes to Mayor's Office Administrative Assistant position (\$-0-)

The Administration is proposing to change an existing Administrative Assistant position to a newly created Policy Assistant to the Mayor. This position change will entail no change in pay grade or pay. This change is to adjust the job responsibilities to more accurately reflect the needs of the Mayor's Office.

A-7: Electrical Vehicle Charging Stations – First Phase (Source: Public Services Budget)

The Administration requests approval to install electric vehicle (EV) charging units in 5 locations for public use. Each unit would be connected to an electrical supply currently metered for the City. The Administration estimates minimal electricity usage, and as such, the City will not charge a user fee at this time. The cost to purchase and install the charging units is estimated to be a total of \$12,400. The transmittal indicates the electricity costs would be covered with existing Facility's Division budget. The proposed site locations include:

2. Liberty Park (west side near tennis facility's pro shop)	
2. Liberty Lark (west side near terms facility's pro shop)	
3. Forest Dale Golf Course (north end of lot next to freeway right of way)	
4. & 5. Two locations in the Downtown Central Business District (50 East	300
South, north side of street; and 135 South Main, east side)	

Other locations could also be considered:

- 70 South State Street (east side)
- 240 South West Temple (west side)
- City & County Building (last stall, southeast corner of Washington Square)

Once the stations are installed, information will be added to the City's website to provide locations, instructions and additional information. *The Administration indicates this is the first phase of the project. The Council may wish to ask with regards to the next steps or phases.* Also, the transmittal indicates that the City will bear the cost of electricity usage at this time. The Council may wish to ask if there will be a trial period or whether the Administration intends to assume the electrical costs for the long-term.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Additional Staff Resources

C-1: FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant (\$969,638 – Source: Grant Fund)

The City is in the final stages of the grant application process for the SAFER grant. This budget request is contingent upon the City receiving the grant award, which the City may know for sure by the end of this fiscal year. If the City receives this grant, the Fire Department will be able to hire nine (9) firefighters for FY 2011. The grant award will pay for the salaries and benefits for these firefighters for a period of two years. As a condition of the grant award, the City must commit to keep these firefighters for a third year at the City's cost (estimated at \$500,620), and must certify that no other firefighters will be eliminated during the three year period.

- The Council may wish to note that in the third year of the program (FY 2013), this will necessitate a base budget increase of \$500,620.
- The Council may also wish to note that between now and FY 2013, no firefighter positions may be eliminated. Depending on the City's revenues, this could mean that there will need to be disproportionate reductions in other City Departments.
- The Police Department is currently receiving a COPS grant award for positions that has similar conditions.

The Administration classified the following as: <u>Housekeeping</u>

D-1: Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant (\$800,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item establishes a revenue and expense budget in the Fleet Fund to record the purchase of two Fire Apparatus vehicles that were funded in the City's Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant award. UASI is a program run by the State Division of Homeland Security. The grant (\$800,000) was awarded to the City's Emergency Management Division to allow for the purchase of Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solutions vehicles.

D-2: CIP CDBG Recapture (\$189,000)

The Administration is requesting to recapture a total of \$189,000 of funding from budgets of two CDBG projects. Because of a very favorable bidding environment, Engineering was able to achieve a savings of \$109,000 on the Burbank Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Dr.), and \$80,000 on the Bell Avenue project (Glendale Dr. to Redwood Rd.) As a reminder,

this particular CDBG funding is additional stimulus funding from HUD that the City received last year. Although this funding was awarded during the 2010-11 CDBG process, this is the accounting mechanism which allows the funding to be recaptured. The Council has already allocated these funds toward the Sidewalk Replacement Program in the FY 2011 CDBG process that was recently completed.

D-3: CDBG Recapture

This item was removed by the Administration.

D-4: CDBG Program Income

This item was removed by the Administration.

D-5: Library Parking Garage Operations (\$153,000 – Source: General Fund)

As a result of the fiscal year 2009-10 budget process, the City was to assume the operations and management of the Library parking garage on July 1, 2009; however, at that time, the City had not determined whether to manage the parking garage or have a contractor manage it. The City has since hired a contractor. Each month the revenue collected pays the contractor's expenses. If revenues are more than expenses, a check is issued to the City by the contractor. This request will amend the budget to reflect this change.

D-6: Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds (\$281,815 – Source: Interest Income)

Interest has been earned over the past year on the unspent bond proceeds of several sales tax revenue bonds which were used to finance City projects. The below table includes details and the interest earned on each project:

Bond Series	Project	Interest Earned this Budget Cycle
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A	Grant Tower Rail Reconfiguration	\$ 8,197
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A	TRAX Extension from Energy Solutions Arena to Intermodal Hub	\$ 4,579
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A	Public Services Maintenance Facility	\$ 178,015
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A	Barnes Bank Office Building	\$ 38,750
GO Bonds Series 2009B	Leonardo	\$ 52,274

Interest earnings are considered bond proceeds and can be spent only on project costs for that bond issuance. When the project is completed, any unspent interest earnings can be applied to the interest portion of future debt service payments on the outstanding bonds of the project.

This budget amendment is to appropriate the interest earnings to the above listed individual project Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) accounts.

D-7: Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant (\$78,750 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item corrects an incorrect object code accounting processed in Budget Amendment #3. The City's Emergency Management Division received a \$1.476 million UASI grant, and in Budget Amendment #3, \$78,750 was accidentally coded to "cash", which caused a budget deficit in the correct object code, which is used for salaries. This action will correct the coding of the \$78,750.

D-8: Barnes Bank Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds (\$939,076 reduction in budget authority)

This action adjusts the original budget created for the Barnes Bank Building purchase and renovation. In 2009, the Council established a budget of \$8.2 million for the overall project (funded with Sales Tax Bonds issued in 2009). Before the bonds were sold, the City decided to use the Surplus Land Account for a portion of the property (fronting 400 South), to maintain flexibility in the ultimate development of the land (so as not to run afoul of tax-exempt use requirements relating to the bond). Therefore, the full \$8.2 million is not needed for the project. This action reduces the budget for the project from \$8.2 million to \$7,260,923.65. The Council may wish to ask the Administration for a status update regarding the future use of the Barnes Bank Building as it relates to the future Public Safety Complex.

D-9: Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase (\$767,000 – Source: Golf Fund)

The Golf Enterprise Fund plans to lease 25 golf carts and 25 pieces of mowing and golf course maintenance equipment through the City's master lease agreement with Banc of America. The lease term for the golf carts is 5 years with payments due every 6 months at a lease rate of 2.68%. The lease term for the moving and course maintenance equipment is 3 years at a rate of 2.09%. (Note: The contract has not been finalized, so lease rate could change slightly.) The first debt-service payment will be due in November of 2010, and lease payments have been budgeted for FY 2011. Upon completion of the payments, the City Golf Fund will have ownership of the golf carts and equipment.

This budget amendment provides tracking of the accounting activity, not the cash flow activity, in the Banc of America escrow account. (Background: The City accounts for the activity in the escrow account in the following way: 1) An approved schedule of vehicle planned purchases (Purchase Orders have been issued) is sent to the City's lease provider, Banc of America, 2) Banc of America puts money in an escrow account and establishes the City's debt service schedule, 3) the City's Fleet receives and accepts an item or vehicle it has ordered, 4) Fleet receives an invoice for the item/vehicle and submits it to Banc of America, 5) Banc of America pays invoice, and reduces the escrow, 6) City makes scheduled debt service payments, 7) upon completion of debt service payments City assumes ownership of items/vehicles. Additionally, once all invoices have been paid by Banc of America the escrow account is closed.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense budgets of \$767,000 to reflect the amount of future lease payments for the golf carts and golf course maintenance equipment.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for detailed information on each grant.

The Administration classified the following as:

Donations

F-1: Donation: Utah Hospital Association (\$93,000 – Source: Donation Fund)

The Fire Department received a donation from the Utah Hospital Association to purchase eleven cardiac monitoring devices to be used by the Department's paramedics. The monitors will provide pre-hospital screening of heart patients, reducing additional monitoring time when patients arrive at the hospital. Instead of providing patient information verbally to the hospital, the monitors will send the information electronically to hospitals, thus improving quality of patient care. This request is to provide the budget so that the donation can be accepted and the equipment purchased.

The Administration classified the following as:

Cost Overruns

NONE

Council Added Items

NONE



<u>SALT'LAKE; GHTY CORPORATION</u>

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

D	E	C	E		V	E	n
	ł	APR	2	7	201	0	
By	lay	pa	-	-			

RALPH BECKER MAYOR

CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

1

SCANNED TO:W DATE: 4/27/2010 - 1:55PM

Date Received: <u>04/27/2010</u> Date sent to Council: <u>04/27/2010</u>

TO: Salt Lake City Council J.T. Martin, Chair

David Everitt, Chief

DATE: April 20, 2010

FROM: David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Staff

SUBJECT: Budget Opening #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10

STAFF CONTACT: Gina Chamness, Budget Director (801) 535-7766 Gordon Hoskins, Deputy Director/Finance Director Administrative Services (801) 535-6394

DOCUMENT TYPE: Budget Amendment Ordinance

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the budget amendment #4 for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

BUDGET IMPACT: General Fund (\$48,000.00) Other Funds \$3,571,793.31 CIP Fund \$464,391.65

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

There are 7 new items with 1 of them that have an impact on the general fund. There is no

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 306 P.O. BOX 145474, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5474 TELEPHONE: 801-535-7704 FAX: 801-535-6331 www.slcgov.com



General Fund fund balance impact.

Item #1 Addresses the new organization in the Police Department.

Item #7 Addresses the personnel changes in the Mayor's Office.

In housekeeping there are 10 items dealing with primarily corrections in the CIP with recapture, and project adjustments. There are adjustments in the budget for bonding projects to the actual bonds issued.

There are 14 items with new grants that need an appropriation.

There is 1 item with donations from the Utah Hospital Assoc for funding of 12 lead cardiac monitoring devices for the Fire Department.

PUBLIC PROCESS: Public Hearing

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE No. _____ of 2010 (Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2009-2010)

An Ordinance Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 17 of 2009 Which Adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2009 and Ending June 30, 2010.

PREAMBLE

On June 16, 2009, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document as provided above, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No.17 of 2009.

SECTION 2. <u>Adoption of Amendments</u>. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the amendments to the employment staffing document described above, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. <u>Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments</u>. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

2

_____, 2010.

CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

Transmitted to the Mayor on _____

Mayor's Action: _____ Approved _____ Vetoed

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney's Office Date <u>4-7-10</u> By <u>MM</u> <u>3-M</u>

(SEAL)

Bill No. _____ of 2010. Published: _____. HB_ATTY-#9683-V1-Budget_Amendment_FY09-10.DOC Revenue Forecast

Salt Lake City Corporation

Salt Lake City Corporation	FY09-10		Variance
	Annual	Revised	Favorable
Revenue	Budget	Forecast	(Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	196,301,624	194,731,032	(1,570,592)
Selected Discussion Items	190,301,024	134,731,032	(1,570,552)
Total Property Taxes	69,541,930	68,629,665	(912,265)
Discussion:	03,341,330	00,020,000	(312,203)
A decline in property is due to the Judgment we received on the calculation for the airlines taxes.			
Total Sales and Use Tax Discussion:	43,393,122	43,122,585	(270,537)
Reviewing the sales tax trends for the past eighteen months we have noticed a downward trend and we are hopeful this trend will decrease in the on going months.			
Total Franchise Tax Discussion:	27,535,772	27,780,772	245,000
The increase is do to a large settlement that we are expecting on the per-liner- foot charge to the telecommunication companies.			
License and Permits:	14,853,028	14,780,225	(72,803)
Discussion: The number of commercial and residential permits are up from last fiscal year, but the values is significantly lower.			
Total Intergovernmental Discussion:	5,069,959	5,089,813	19,854
Interest income	1,511,545	1,192,856	(318,689)
Discussion: Interest Income is lower due to a lag in the economy. The federal government has made no changes in their lending rates.			
Total Fines & Forfeiture	10,851,175	10,633,598	(217,577)
Discussion:			
The issuance of parking tickets are lower on average than in previous years.			
Parking Meters Discussion:	1,529,363	2,085,236	555,873
Parking meter revenue will come in higher than expected due to the bagging of meters in the down town area Including City Creek.			
Charges and Services	4,184,414	3,966,526	(217,888)
Discussion: City wide charges for services are coming in under budget due to the economic conditions.			
Miscellaneous Revenue Discussion:	1,071,856	1,318,582	246,726
Total Interfund Discussion:	9,986,846	9,358,560	(628,286)
Administration fees are lower by \$350,000 due to the reduction in the general fund with mid-year budget reductions. Fire reimbursement from the airport is also coming in lower, but should have an offset in the expenditures.			
Transfers	6,772,614	6,772,614	0
Discussion:	, ,	, ,	-

Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment #4 –June

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New	ltems				
1.	Deputy Chief Positions in Police Department	\$.00					
2.	Police Service Overtime Reimbursement	\$105,000.00			\$105,000.00		
3.	Donation Fund Receipts and Interest	\$300,000.00					
4.	E-911 Increase Charges from Qwest	\$108,000.00					
5.	Central Business Improv Area Revenue and Expense	\$53,903.00					
6.	Mayor's Office change Admin Assist to Policy Assist	\$.00					
	Section B	Grants For Exist	ing Staff Resource	28			
1.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$42,764.03					
2.	SLC School District Youth City Artways Grant	\$81,930.00					
3.	Ut State SLC Bicycle Safety Project Grant	\$12,876.00					
4.	Ut State Violence Against Women Grant	\$45,315.42					
5.	Ut State Homeland Security Terrorism Prevention Grant	\$148,486.00					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section C	Grants For New	Staff Resources				
1.	FEMA Fire Staffing SAFER Grant	\$969,638.00					
	Section D	Housekeeping					
1.	Fire Communication Trucks Funded from UASI Grant	\$800,000.00					
2.	CIP CDBG Recaptures	\$189,000.00					
3.	CDBG Recapture	\$91,297.56					
4.	CDBG Program Income	\$125,943.61					
5.	Library Parking Garage Operations	-\$153,000.00			-\$153,000.00		
7.	Budget Adjustment for UASI Grant	\$78,750.00					
8.	Barnes Bank Bldg Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds	-\$939,076.35					
9.	Golf Fund Capital Leasing Budget Increase	\$767,000.00					
10.	Interest Earnings on Sales Tax and General Obligation Bonds	\$281,815.00					
	Section E	Grants Requiring	No New Staff Res	sources			
1.	Ut State Police In Car Technology Grant	\$40,000.00					

	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
2.	Ut State Homeland Security Metropolitan Medical Response System Grant	\$321,221.00					
3.	Police Department Nation Crime Victims' Right Week Community Awareness Project Grant	\$5,000.00					
4.	Ut State Homeland Security Local Emerg Planning Committee Hazardous Material Grant	\$3,200.00					
5.	Ut State Environmental Quality digester Covers Grant	\$150,000.00					
6.	Ut State Natural Resource Land and Water Conservation Grant	\$92,307.69					
7.	Ut State Intensive Level Survey Historic Preservation Grant	\$40,700.00					
8.	FEMA Fire Prevention Safety Grant	\$133,000.00					
	Section F	Donations					
1.	Utah Hospital Association	\$93,000.00					

Creation of the Deputy Chief Positions in the Police Department

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-1

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Administration is proposing the reorganization of several administrative level positions within the Police Department. This reorganization will entail the reclassification of eight (8) positions to the newly created Deputy Chief position, located in the Office of the Police Chief with an appointed pay grade of 004. Their responsibilities are listed below. The positions that will be reclassified are currently reflected on the Staffing Document as follows: three (3) Assistants to the Chief, located in the Office of the Police Chief with an appointed pay grade of 04; four (4) Captains located in the Office of the Police Chief, the Administrative Bureau and the Investigative Bureau with pay grades of 830; and one (1) Lieutenant located in the Office of the Police Chief in the Office of the Police Chief with a pay grade of 822. There will be pay increases linked to some of these position changes, but the overall reorganization will result in near term savings in this fiscal year of approximately \$14,000 due to the elimination of one (1) Sargeant. In addition, there will be over \$300,000 savings in future years as two (2) Captain positions are eliminated through attrition.

These changes will result in improved efficiency and effectiveness within the Police Department, as well as enhanced accountability within the command structure. There will be more opportunities for upward mobility, and there will also be increased opportunities for those performing their duties to work more closely with the people within the department who are making leadership decisions.

The eight (8) Duputy Chief's responsibilities will be: Facilities Development - Deputy Chief Tim Doubt Administration - Deputy Chief Lee Dobrowolski Communication and Records - Deputy Chief Jim Coleman Fusion - Deputy Chief Mike Brown Investigations - Deputy Chief Scott Atkinson Management Services - Deputy Chief Rick Findlay Patrol - Deputy Chief Ken Pearce Special Operations - Deputy Chief Terry Fritz

Crea	ation of the Deputy Chief Positions	
	in the Police Department	
	Initiative Name	0000 40
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-1		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Police Department		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
Gina Chamness		<u>535-7766</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of	0	0
Position Title:		
Creation of the Deputy Chief		
Positions in the Police		
Department		
-		

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue:				
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	Amount	
 Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	Amount	
Additional Accounting Details:				
Grant Information:				l
 Grant funds employee positions?)		(Yes or No)	
 Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinu	le?	(Yes or No)	
If grant is funding a position is it	expe	cted the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?		(Yes or No)	
Will grant program be complete in	n gra	nt funding time frame?	(Yes or No)	
 Will grant impact the community	once	the grant funds are		
eliminated?			 (Yes or No)	
 	L			
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovide	d by private or		
Non-profit sector?			(Yes or NO)	

Initiative Name:	In	itiati	ve N	lame:
------------------	----	--------	------	-------

Police Service Overtime Reimbursement

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-2

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Police Department estimates at the beginning of the fiscal year what the revenues will be for reimbursable police overtime. The actuals vary from that estimate. This budget request is matching the revenues received/anticipated from reimbursing agencies with Police Department overtime expenses.

		Police S	ervice Overtime	
			nbursement	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative	<u>#A-2</u>			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year
Police Departmen	<u>t</u>			New Item
Department				Type of Initiative
Jerry Burton				799-3824
Prepared By		()	Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund B	alance-	V	(egalive)	T OSITIVE
Impact				
Revenue Impact By Fu	nd:		iscal Year	Annual
			act Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund				
		\$	105,000.00	
	-	•	405 000 00	
latera d'Ormiter Frank	Total	\$	105,000.00	\$
Internal Service Fund				
	Total		\$0	\$
Enterprise Fund	Total			¥
	Total		\$0	\$
Other Fund				
	Total		\$0	\$
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of		None		None
Position Title:		None		None

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
02-00083	1441	\$ 4,000.00
02-00047	1446-04	\$ 12,000.00
02-00045	1446-05	\$ 2,000.00
02-00047	1446-09	\$ 25,000.00
02-00045	1446-12	\$ 29,000.00
02-00013	1446-13	\$ 33,000.00
		\$ 105,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
02-00083	2133	\$ 4,000.00
02-00083 02-00047	2133	\$ 4,000.00
02-00045	2133	\$ 2,000.00
02-00047	2133	\$ 25,000.00
02-00045	2133	\$ 29,000.00
02-00013	2133	\$ 33,000.00
		\$ 105,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	N/A
f grant is funding a position is it on the grant is funding a position is it of the grant of the		N/A
Will grant program be complete ir		N/A
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		 N/A

Donations Fund receipts and interest

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-3

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

In FY 2010 budget amendment #2 the Council replenished the \$100,000 for allocation for Interest on existing donations funds and for new donations. The additional appropriation has already been allocated to individual funds. An additional 26,000 could have been allocated had it been available. This request replenishes the the \$100,000 and adds an additional \$200,000 to ensure adequate budget until fiscal year end. See below for the use of the \$100,000 that was appropriated in the budget amendment #2.

77-00875 Youth City Programs \$25,446.34; 77-77121 Rainy Day Gallivan Donations 37,005.75; 77-77123 Salt Lake City Foundation \$16,112.48; 77-77130 Imagination Celebration \$3,554.09; 77-77132 SLC Tree Replacement \$1,998.16; 77-77136 Police Equipment Endowment \$542.14; 77-77150 Memorial House Maintenance \$4,811.34; 77-77151 Equipment Purchase Donation (Fire Department) \$5,026.96; 77-77159 CIT Scholarship (Police department) \$5,502.74

		und receipts and interest	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-3		Initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Administrative Services			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann			535-6424
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	In	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψΰ	ψ
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
		•	•
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund	¢	200,000,00	
Donations Fund	\$	300,000.00	
Total	\$	300,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77001	1895	\$ 300,000.00
11-11001	1000	\$ 500,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77001	2590	\$ 300,000.00
Additional Accounting Datailar		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
le there a notantial factor of t		
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue ?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it	avpacted the position will	
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the gra	expected the position will	NA
be eminiated at the end of the gra		
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	NA
min grant program be complete in		
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
• • • • • •	ovided by private or	
Does grant dublicate services pro		
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?		NA

E 911 Increased Charges from Qwest

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-4

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The E 911 system charges from Qwest went through an audit or "true up" review by Qwest and found that the monthly expenses need to be increased from \$23,000 to \$32,000 per month. The \$108,000 request will be funded by the E-911 fund balance.

This "true up" was to be performed annually by Qwest but it has been three years since their last review of the costs to maintain the E 9-1-1 system.

Those cost are allocated to the subscribers in the area effected by the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP).

The ongoing increased cost will be included in the FY 2011 expense budget as well.

	E 911 Increased Charges from Qwe	st
	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-4		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
POLICE		New Item
		Type of Initiative
JERRY BURTON		801-799-3824
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		1 031170
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	φυ	
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Ctoffing Immedia		
<u>Staffing Impact</u> Requested Number of	None	0
	INOTIE	
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicat	ble:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
60-00620	2352-02	\$ 108,000.00
00 00020	2002 02	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Additional Accounting Details:		
Appropriate fund balance		
Grant Information:	Net en Beckle	
Grant funds employee positions?	Not applicable	(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	(Yes or No)
is there a potential for grant to con		
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	nt?	(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community of	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

Central Business Improvement Area Fiscal Year 2010 Revenue and Expense Budgets

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-5

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

Estimates for revenue and expense budgets were posted to the Central Business Improvement Area fiscal year 2010 cost center during the creation of the FY10 budgets. As the new district is being created, (estimated to start 4/15/10), those budgets need to be revised to reflect current expenditure estimates. Specifically, budget needs to be increased to cover the first quarterly payment to the Downtown Alliance and to cover a portion of the CED Management piece. We currently have \$157,127 in budget for the Downtown Alliance and we estimate that the payment will be \$205,425. CED Management piece will require \$5,605 in expense budget. Revenue budgets need to be increased accordingly to ensure we do not run a negative budget position within the cost center.

	Central F	Business Improvement Are	22
	iscal Vea	r 2010 Revenue and Expe	rse
E	istai i ed	Budgets	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 EV2010 Initiative #A E		Initiative Name	2009-10
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-5			
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED, Treasurers, Engineering			New Item
Department Brent Beck			Type of Initiative
			<u>535-7107</u>
Prepared By		(Negativa)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	¢	(Negative)	
	\$	-	\$-
Impact			A
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψ υ	φυ
Total		\$0	\$0
		پ ۵	ΦU
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ψ0	ψυ
46-46010	\$	53,903.00	
40-40010	φ	53,903.00	
Total	\$	53,903.00	\$0
10121	Ψ	33,303.00	ψυ
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			
Position Title:			
Position Litle:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
46-46010	1125	\$	53,903.00
40 400 10	1120	Ψ	00,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
46-46010	2590	\$	48,298.00
46-46010	2199	\$	5,605.00
	2100	Ψ	0,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it			
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant?		NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
			NA
eliminated?			
eliminated? Does grant duplicate services pr Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or		NA

Change in Mayor's Office Administrative Assistant Position to Policy Assistant to the Mayor

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-6

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Administration proposes changing an existing appointed 015 position, the Administrative Assistant position located in the Mayor's Office, to a newly created position Policy Assistant to the Mayor. This position change will entail no change in pay grade, or pay.

This proposed change is the result of a change in the position's responsibilities within the Mayor's Office and more accurately reflect the needs of the Mayor's Office.

		Change in Mayor's Office	
	۵dr	ministrative Assistant Position	to
		Policy Assistant to the Mayor	19
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #A-6			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>Gina Chamness</u>	_		<u>535-7766</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance			
Impact			
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		4 0	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		+ - I	• • • •
•			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
		••	•
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	0
Position Title:			
Change in Mayor's Office			
Administrative Assistant Position to Policy Assistant			
to the Mayor			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	2:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Ocide Newsler	A
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra		(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Courts Violence Against Women Formula Grant (VAWA)

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-1

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The City's Justice Court received a \$42,764.03 grant from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations for the continuation of their VAWA funded domestic violence court clerk position. The full amount of \$42,764.03 will be used to pay the salary and benefits of the FTE who provides data entry services and is the point of contact between the DV Court, treatment providers, law enforcement, victim advocates and victim service agencies. The DV Court provides services to adult women, ages 18 and older, who are victims of domestic violence, harassment and/or stalking, that are involved in cases filed in SLC and/or are involved with the Court to secure a no-contact order or an arrest warrant for an offender. An average of 1,100 misdemeanor domestic violence cases are filed each year and 65 percent involve abuse to female victims.

This position was VAWA grant funded as an hourly/part time position during the previous year. The increase to a full time position is based on the volume of case management needed. There are currently 1,038 domestic violence cases that are on probation. By increasing the position to an FTE, the court clerk will be able to devote a portion of time each week to facilitating a 24-hour turn around on the issuance of high priority service warrants and non-compliance warrants as well as cross-checking no-contact orders posted to the Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification state-wide system. This position will be fully grant funded.

The grant requires a \$14,374 cash match which will be satisfied with 265 hours of the DV Court Judge, the Criminal Section Manager and the Justice Court Directors time and is budgeted for within the Courts general fund budget.

		Office of Crime Victim ourts Violence Agains	
		mula Grant (VAWA)	
		tiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-1			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Justice Court			Grants for Existing Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
lary Johnston/ Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7173 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact		None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	E	iscal Year	Annual
	Imp	act Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ψŪ	φυ
72-	\$	42,764.03	
12-	Φ	42,704.03	
Total	\$	42,764.03	\$0
Ctaffing Impost.			
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of			0
FTE's: Position Title:			
1 FTE	\$	32,177.60	
FICA/Medicare/Benefits	\$	10,586.43	
	\$	42,764.03	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	09-\	/AWA-21 -16.588
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$	42,764.03
		Ψ	12,101.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	2111-01	\$	32,177.60
72- New Cost Center	2111-01	\$	10,586.43
		\$	42,764.03
Additional Accounting Details:			
Pass through funding from the US De	epartment of Justice		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			Yes
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?		Yes
		165	
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran	trected the position will http://www.sected.com/sected the position will http://www.sected.com/sected		Yes
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			Yes
Does grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or		Ne
Non-profit sector?			No

Salt Lake City School District, US Department of Education, 21st Century Community Learning Center - YouthCity Artways Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-2

Initiative Type:

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Salt Lake City YouthCity Division in collaboration with the Salt Lake City School District (SLCSD) applied jointly for a US Department of Education, 21st Century Community Learning Center grant. The SLCSD received the grant, and is the lead agency of the three year grant. The SLCSD sub-awarded a total of \$122,895. to the City. In year one of the grant, \$40,965 of the grant funding was brought into the City's budget during BA #3 FY08-09. This request is to establish budget for the remainder or \$81,930 for the 2nd and 3rd year of the grant. This program is a collaborative effort with other local agencies, youth program providers and educational institutes. Some partners include Big Brothers Big Sisters of Utah, Boy Scouts of America, the American Red Cross, Salt Lake County and the University of Utah. The purpose of this grant is to create community learning centers that provide academic enrichment opportunities during non-school hours for children, particularly students, who attend high-poverty and low-performing schools. The SLCSD has identified two Title 1 schools, Washington Elementary and Bryant Middle School. The YouthCity Division will provide arts education, employment, civic and teen programs as a compliment to school-based programs in support of student/family success and connection to school.

YouthCity Artways will provide visual arts, music, dance, video film and employment/life skills classes at Bryant Middle School and visual arts, music, dance and video film classes at Washington Elementary. Of the \$40,965 grant award, \$31,845 will be used to pay hourly wage and FICA of seasonal employees including teachers, a program coordinator; and life skills instructor; \$6,120 will be used to purchase supplies that include video camera, tripod, computer, video tape and assorted supplies; and \$3,000 will be spent on a program evaluation. Note: All equipment will become the property of the Salt Lake City School District when program is complete.

The grant requires an \$11,509 match which will be satisfied with the YouthCity Program Manager and Employment Coordinators time and benefits which is budgeted for within the YouthCity Divisions general fund budget. A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 5% of the YouthCity Artways Director and Assistants time; facility rental donation, student busing and teachers time by SLC School District; and facility rental donation of the City Library.

	Salt	Lake City School District, US	<u>6</u>
1		nent of Education, 21st Cent	
	<u>Co</u>	mmunity Learning Center -	
	<u> </u>	YouthCity Artways Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-2			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing Staff
Public Services			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6129 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ψυ	φα
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-30906	\$	81,930.00	
Total	\$	81,930.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			C
FTE's:			
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	84.287	
Revenue:			-
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-30906	1895	\$	81,930.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	2590	~	Amount
72-30906	2590	\$	81,930.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Pass through funding from the US Dep	partment of Education		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			Νο
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran	pected the position will		NA
Will grant program be complete in g			Yes
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

State of Utah Department of Public Safety - SLC Bicycle Safety Project

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-3

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD applied for and received a \$12,876 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety under their federally funded National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Bicycle Safety Program.

The SLCPD will administer a public bicycle safety awareness and enforcement campaign that will educate youth and adults through "Bike Rodeos". A minimum of six rodeos will be held in May at local schools to educate students and six rodeos will be held during the summer months at local community events that will target both youth and adults. The program will be a joint effort with community partners in distributing helmets to children and safety lights to adult cyclists. A press release and educational materials will be developed to announce the program. The purpose of this program is to decrease accidents and fatalities by educating both cyclists and motorists of bicycle safety measures and laws governing both.

Of these funds, \$9,600 will be used to pay officer over time to conduct the twelve Bike Rodeos and \$3,276 will be used to develop and print educational outreach materials and pamphlets and youth give always.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the Utah Department of Public Safety.

		tah Department of	
		fety - SLC Bicycle	
		fety Project	
	In	itiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-3			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			A
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>iscal Year</u> bact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund			Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund		ψ	\$
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ψ ~	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	12,876.00	
Total	\$	12,876.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	PS100703 - 20.600
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 12,876.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 12,876.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
State pass through grant from the	National Highway Traffic Safety Administratio	n
(NHTSA)		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?	?	No
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	+
be eliminated at the end of the gr		NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
		100
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
	once the grant futius are	- Vec
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Violence Against Women Formula Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-4

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Prosecutor's Office applied for and received a \$45,315.42 grant to be used to continue to pay the salary and benefits of the Court Victim Assistant position. This position aids victims of domestic violence with accessing community support resources in addition to providing court advocacy that assists victims through the difficult, complex and intimidating court process when prosecuting their abusers.

A 25% or \$11,328 match is required and will be satisfied with time spent on grant related responsibilities by the Program Coordinator, the Financial Monitor and a paralegal whose salary and benefits are budgeted for within their perspective departments/divisions general fund budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utah, Violence Against Women Formula Grant award and to sign any additional agreements or awards as a result of the initial grant.

ReparationBA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-4Initiative NumberInitiative NumberProsecutors OfficeDepartmentInitiative CollinsPrepared ByInitiative NumberGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactInitiative NumberGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactInitiative NumberGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactInitiative NumberGeneral FundInitiative NumberInternal Service FundInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberTotalInitiative NumberStaffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:Initiative Number of Staffing Impact:	\$(\$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$)	Vomen. Image:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-4Initiative NumberInitiative NumberProsecutors OfficeDepartmentImpact CollinsPrepared ByImpactGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactImpact By Fund:Impact By Fund:Impact By Fund:Impact By Fund:Internal Service FundInternal Service FundInterprise FundInterprise FundInterprise FundImpactTotalImpactInterprise FundImpactInterprise FundImpactInterprise FundImpactInterprise FundImpact<	Formula Grant. Initiative Name (Negative) None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(Image: Second system 2008-09 Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Positive Impact Amount So So \$0
Initiative Number Initiative Number Initiative Number Initiative Number Initiative Number Initiative Number Office Internal Service Fund Internal Internal Service Fund Internal	(Negative) None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(\$(Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive
Initiative Number of FTE's: Initiative Number of FTE's: Initiative Number of FTE's: Initiative Number of FTE's: Initiative Number of Staffing Impact: Initiative Number of Staffing Impactive Number of	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive
Prosecutors OfficeDepartmentIadma Collings / Sherrie CollinsPrepared ByIGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactIRevenue Impact By Fund:General Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactGeneral FundIGeneral FundIInternal Service FundIInternal Service FundIEnterprise FundICother FundITotalIInternal Service FundIStaffing Impact:IRequested Number of FTE's:I	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual Impact Amount
DepartmentImage: Collings / Sherrie CollinsPrepared ByImage: CollinsPrepared ByImage: CollinsGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactImage: CollinsRevenue Impact By Fund:Image: CollinsGeneral FundImage: CollinsGeneral FundImage: CollinsInternal Service FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsEnterprise FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsCother FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsStaffing Impact:Image: CollinsRequested Number of FTE's:Image: Collins	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Resources. Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Impact Amount 50 \$0
DepartmentImage: Collings / Sherrie CollinsPrepared ByImage: CollinsPrepared ByImage: CollinsGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactImage: CollinsRevenue Impact By Fund:Image: CollinsGeneral FundImage: CollinsGeneral FundImage: CollinsInternal Service FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsEnterprise FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsCother FundImage: CollinsTotalImage: CollinsStaffing Impact:Image: CollinsRequested Number of FTE's:Image: Collins	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Impact Amount 50 \$0
adma Collings / Sherrie CollinsPrepared ByIImpactIGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactImpact By Fund:General FundImpact By Fund:IImpact By FundIInternal Service FundIImpact By FundIIm	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	535-7762 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual Impact Amount 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0
Prepared ByImage: Constraint of the sector of t	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Telephone Contact Positive Annual Impact Amount 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0
General Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactIGeneral FundGeneral FundIGeneral FundITotalITotalIInternal Service FundITotalIEnterprise FundITotalICother FundITotalIStaffing Impact:SRequested Number of FTE's:I	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Positive Annual Impact Amount 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:General FundImpactGeneral FundImpactTotalImpactTotalImpactInternal Service FundImpactInternal Service FundImpactTotalImpactInterprise FundImpactTotalImpactImpactImpactImpactImpactStaffing Impact:ImpactRequested Number of FTE's:Impact	None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$(\$(45,315.42	Annual Impact Amount 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:General FundImpactGeneral FundImpactTotalImpactTotalImpactInternal Service FundImpactInternal Service FundImpactTotalImpactInterprise FundImpactTotalImpactImpactImpactImpactImpactStaffing Impact:ImpactRequested Number of FTE's:Impact	Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Impact Amount
Revenue Impact By Fund:General FundImage: Second state stat	Impact Amount \$	Impact Amount
General FundIInternal Service FundITotalIInternal Service FundIInternal Service FundITotalITotalITotalIInterprise FundITotalITotalITotalITotalIStaffing Impact:IRequested Number of FTE's:IInternal Service FundIInternal Service FundIInternal Service FundIInterprise FundII	Impact Amount \$	Impact Amount
Internal Service FundImage: Constraint of the service of the service fundInternal Service FundImage: Constraint of the service fundTotalImage: Constraint of the service fundStaffing Impact:Image: Constraint of the service function	\$(50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0 50 \$0
Internal Service FundImage: Constraint of the service of the service fundInternal Service FundImage: Constraint of the service fundTotalImage: Constraint of the service fundStaffing Impact:Image: Constraint of the service function	\$(\$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$)	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Internal Service Fund Internal Service Fund Internal Service Fund Interprise F	\$(\$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$)	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Internal Service FundImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundEnterprise FundImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service Fund72-Image: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundFigure FundImage: Service FundFigur	\$(\$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$)	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Internal Service FundImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundEnterprise FundImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundTotalImage: Service Fund72-Image: Service FundTotalImage: Service FundFigure FundImage: Service FundFigur	\$(\$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$) \$(\$)	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Total	\$(45,315.42	\$0 \$0
Enterprise FundIEnterprise FundITotalITotalIOther FundI72-\$TotalITotal\$Staffing ImpactitIRequested Number of FTE's:I	\$(45,315.42	\$0 \$0
Enterprise FundIEnterprise FundITotalITotalIOther FundI72-\$TotalITotal\$Staffing ImpactitIRequested Number of FTE's:I	\$(45,315.42	\$0 \$0
Enterprise FundIEnterprise FundITotalITotalIOther FundI72-\$TotalITotal\$Staffing ImpactitIRequested Number of FTE's:I	\$(45,315.42	\$0 \$0
Total Total Other Fund 72- \$ Total \$ Staffing Impatel: Requested Number of FTE's:	45,315.42	
Other Fund Image: Statistic state in the	45,315.42	
Other Fund Image: Statistic state in the	45,315.42	
Other Fund Image: Statistic state in the	45,315.42	
72- \$ Total \$ Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:		>
Total \$ Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:		
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:		•
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of FTE's:	45,315.42	2 \$0
Requested Number of FTE's:	40,010.42	<u>\$0</u>
Requested Number of FTE's:		
FTE's:		0
Position Title:		
Fosition Title.		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	16.588
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 45,315.42
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 45,315.42
	2000	 10,010.12
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to co	antinuo?	Yes
is there a potential for grant to co		165
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?	Yes
se chimated at the ond of the gr		105
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	No

State of Utah, 2009-Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) and Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP)

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-5

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Emergency Management Services Division receives this annual grant from the Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security. It is awarded to local jurisdictions to purchase equipment and provide training opportunities as necessary to prepare in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack. The County is the lead agency and will reimburse SLC for eligible expenses as outlined in the grant award.

The City will receive \$148,417 of grant funding which was allocated for the following purposes: \$24,855 was allocated to SLC PD to conduct a Law Enforcement NIMS training and an additional \$12,418 to pay officer OT for participation in a county wide drill; \$18,000 was awarded to the Fire Department to purchase level A hazmat suits; and \$93,143 (\$50.979.60 through county-wide program and \$42,164 to SLC) awarded to the Emergency Management Division to purchase 72 hour preparedness supplies for emergency responders and essential personnel.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		tah 2009 Homeland Securi	
G		gram and Law Enforceme	nt_
	Terror	ism Prevention Program	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #B-5			<u>2009-2010</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing Staff
Admin Services			<u>Resources</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
Cory Lyman / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-1901 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Concerct French French Delence		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		+ -	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-	\$	148,486.00	
	_		
Total	\$	148,486.00	\$0
Ctoffing Impost			
Staffing Impact:			(
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2009-SHSP-002 - 97.067
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 148,486.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 148,486.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?)	No
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #C-1

Grant For New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department received a \$969,638 grant from the US Federal Emergency Management Agency, under the SAFER grant program. This grant is awarded to hire new firefighters and/or rehire laid off firefighters and will pay the salary and benefits of the positions for a two year period. The Fire Department is proposing to use the SAFER funds to hire eleven (9) new firefighters at an average cost of \$484,819 per year. These jobs will replace the unfilled positions that were lost during budget cuts.

Although the grant pays the salary and benefits for the two year period, the City is required to retain the firefighters for a three year period. The cost for the third year salary and benefits of the 9 positions would be approximately \$500,620 and would be the City's responsibility. In addition the City must certify that no additional firefighter lay offs will occur within the three year period.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Federal Emergency Management Agency grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Agency (I	ral Emergency Management FEMA) Staffing for Adequate nd Emergency Response (SAFER) Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #C-1			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Fire Department			Grant For New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			<u>799-4210 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψ0	φ.
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			ψ
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	969,638.00	
Total	\$	969,638.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			(
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	TBD	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72 New Cost Center	1360	\$ 969,638.0)0
			-
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 969,638.0	0
	2390	φ 909,030.0	0
Additional Accounting Details:			-
Additional Accounting Details.			
Federal Grant -			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes	
		N.	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	No	
If grant is funding a position is it	avported the position will		
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the grant		Na	
be emminated at the end of the gra		No	-+
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	Yes	\rightarrow
wini grant program be complete i		165	-
			-+
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are	ΝΔ	
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are	NA	_
eliminated?		NA	
		NA NO	

Fire Communications Truck Funded from UASI Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-1

Initiative Type:

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

Establish revenue and expense budget in the Fleet Fund to record purchase of two Fire apparatus vehicles funded from the City's UASI Grant Fund (7210906).

The UASI Grant was awarded to the Emergency Management Division of Administrative Services Department. \$800,000 of the grant was allocated to purchase Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solutions vehicles.

This request will allow the equipment to be accounted for in the Capital Asset inventory.

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-1 Initiative Name 2009-10 Initiative Number Image: State		Ei	e Communications Truck Funded from UASI Grant	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-1 2009-10 Initiative Number Fiscal Year Public Services Housekeeping Department S35-6123 Greg Davis S35-6123 General Fund - Fund Balance- Positive Impact Fiscal Year Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Ampact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Ampact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Compact By Fund: Impact Amount Impact Service Fund Impact Amount Total \$ Solon,000.00 \$ Enterprise Fund \$ Total \$ Total \$ Total \$ Total \$ Total \$ Staffing Impact: \$				
Initiative NumberImage: services service s	RA#4 EV2010 Initiation	(0 #D_1		2000-10
Public ServicesHousekeepingDepartmentImage: Statistic				
DepartmentImage: Constraint of the second secon				
Greg Davis.Image: state stat		2		
ImageImageImageImageGeneral Fund - Fund Balance- ImpactFiscal Year Impact By Fund:Annual Impact AmountRevenue Impact By Fund:Fiscal Year 				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Fiscal Year Annual Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Annual General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount Total S0 S Internal Service Fund S 800,000.00 Total S S Total S <				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Fiscal Year Annual Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Annual General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount General Fund Impact Amount Impact Amount Total S0 S Internal Service Fund S 800,000.00 Total S S Total S <			(Negative)	Positive
Revenue Impact By Fund:Fiscal Year Impact AmountAnnual Impact AmountGeneral FundGeneral FundTotalTotalInternal Service FundService FundTotal800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total <t< td=""><td>General Fund - Fund</td><td>Balance-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	General Fund - Fund	Balance-		
Revenue Impact By Fund:Fiscal Year Impact AmountAnnual Impact AmountGeneral FundGeneral FundTotalTotalInternal Service FundService FundTotal800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total800,000.00Total <t< td=""><td>Impact</td><td></td><td>· · · ·</td><td></td></t<>	Impact		· · · ·	
Impact AmountImpact AmountGeneral FundImpact AmountImpact Amount <td></td> <td>und:</td> <td></td> <td></td>		und:		
Internal Service Fund Image: Constraint of the service o			Impact Amount	Impact Amount
Internal Service Fund \$ 800,000.00 Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ Enterprise Fund - - - - Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ \$ Enterprise Fund -	General Fund			
Internal Service Fund \$ 800,000.00 Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ Enterprise Fund - - - - Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ \$ Enterprise Fund -				
Internal Service Fund \$ 800,000.00 Total \$ 800,000.00 Total \$ 800,000.00 Enterprise Fund - Total \$ 800,000.00 Total \$ 90 Total \$ 90 Total \$ 90 Staffing Impact: \$ 90 Requested Number of 0		Total	¢0	
Image: Sector of the sector	Internal Corvice Fund			
Total \$ 800,000.00 \$ Enterprise Fund - <	Internal Service Fund		\$ 800.000.00	
Enterprise Fund Image: Constraint of the second			\$ 800,000.00	
Enterprise Fund Image: Constraint of the second		Total	\$ 800.000.00	\$0
Total Solution Total \$0 Other Fund \$0 72 Solution Total \$0 Total \$0 Total \$0 Staffing Impact: \$0 Requested Number of 0	Enterprise Fund		÷ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
Other Fund Other Fund 72 Image: Constraint of the second				
Other Fund Other Fund 72 Image: Constraint of the second				
72 Image: Constraint of the second seco		Total	\$0	\$0
Total Image: Constraint of the second seco	Other Fund			
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of 0	72			
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of 0				
Requested Number of 0		Total	\$0	\$C
Requested Number of 0				
	Statting Impacit	£	0	C
Position litie: Image: Constraint of the second	•	//	0	
Image: system Image: s	Position Litle:			
Image: system Image: s				
Image: selection of the				
Image: selection of the				
Image: Constraint of the second sec				

Devenue		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:			
Revenue: Cost Center Number		Object Code Number			Amount
61-00020 Fleet Replacement		1974-72 Transfer from Misc Grants F	und	\$	800,000.00
	_				
<u> </u>	_				
	_				
	_				
Expenditure:					
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number			Amount
72-10906		2590		\$	(800,000.00)
72-10906 61-00020 Fleet Replacement	_	2910-04 2750-01 Equipment New Acquisition		\$ \$	800,000.00 800,000.00
61-00020 Fleet Replacement	_	2750-01 Equipment New Acquisition		φ	800,000.00
	_				
	_				
	_				
Additional Accounting Details:					
Additional Accounting Details:					
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS	il Gra	nt – Interoperable Communications	s Proj	ect)	
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET >	il Gra	2590 Other Expenses		\$	1,380,847.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS	il Gra				1,380,847.00 800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET >	il Gra	2590 Other Expenses		\$	
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET >		2590 Other Expenses		\$	
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED >		2590 Other Expenses		\$	
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information:		2590 Other Expenses		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED >		2590 Other Expenses		\$	
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	s?	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information:	s?	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	s? conti	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o	s? conti	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemen inue?		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the g	s? conti it ex grant	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee position: Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is	s? conti it ex grant	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the g Will grant program be complete	s? conti it exi grant	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will t? rant funding time frame?		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the g Will grant program be complete Will grant impact the communit	s? conti it exi grant	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will t? rant funding time frame?		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the g Will grant program be complete	s? conti it exi grant	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will t? rant funding time frame?		\$	800,000.00
7210906 UASI Grant (FY08 UAS EXISTING BUDGET > WILL BE USED > Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions Is there a potential for grant to o If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the g Will grant program be complete Will grant impact the communit	s? conti it ex grant it ex y on	2590 Other Expenses 291004 Transfer to Fleet Replacemer inue? pected the position will t? rant funding time frame? ce the grant funds are		\$	800,000.00 NA NA NA NA

Initiative Name:
CIP CDBG- Recaptures
Initiative Number:
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
CDBG R funds were awarded for construction of Burbank Av Redwood Drive, and Bell Avenue, Glendale Drive to Redwood CDBG process. Engineering received excellent bids on both \$109,000 on the Burbank Avenue project and an \$80,000 sa totaling \$189,000 of project funds that can be re-allocated. Minimal construction was completed on both projects in the that work will resume April 1, 2010. This request will recapture the \$189,000 excess CDBG-R FY-2011 CDBG process. These funds will be reviewed by be presented to the Council for finalization at a later date.
Initiative #D-2

2

Initiative D

venue, Glendale Drive to CDBG R fui d Road during the FY 2010 Redwood D CDBG proc projects resulting in savings of \$109,000 o ivings on the Bell Avenue project, totaling \$18

fall of 2009 and it is anticipated Minimal cor that work w

This reques funds for re-allocation during the FY-2011 CI the Mayor and his proposals will be presente

	CIP CDBG- Recaptures	
	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-2		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collin		<u>535-6136/535-6150</u>
	(Negativa)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative)	Positive
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue impact by Fund.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impact Amount	impact Amount
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Tatal		
Total	\$0	\$C
Staffing Impost		
Staffing Impacts Requested Number of	0	C
FTE's:	Ŭ	
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-10072 Burbank Ave	2700	\$ (109,000.00)
83-10071 Bell Avenue	2700	\$ (80,000.00)
83-ARRA CDBG -R Cost overrun	2700	\$ 189,000.00
(New Cost Center)	2100	φ 100,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Create new CDBG R Cost Over-ru		
Cost Center - ARRA funds can no with other funding sources	t be mixed	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the gra	expected the position will ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete ir	n grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are	NA
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	NA

Recapture Remaining Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Budgets

Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2010 Initiative # D-3

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

This request decreases the remaining budgets of five (5) completed and/or closed US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) projects including CDBG grants totaling \$91,297.56, and increases the cost over run accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming as per HUD Federal guidelines.

Rec	apture Remaining Community elopment Block Grant (CDBG) Budgets	-
	Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2010 Initiative # D-3		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Ann Clark / Jennifer Schumann		<u>535-6136/ 535-7276</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
Or a small From d. From d. Dalaman	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	<u> </u>	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	+-	¥*
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	-	\$ -
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
	Object Code Number		Amount
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation 71-20099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310 1310	\$ \$	(1,588.90) 1,588.90
	1310	φ	1,300.90
71-35060 CDC Program Income	1310	\$	(82,179.67)
71- 35099 CDBG Cost overrun	1310	\$	82,179.67
		•	
71-34055 Alliance House	1310	\$	(740.00)
71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310	\$	740.00
71-35063 Odyssey House	1310	\$	(1,072.30)
71-35036 Multi-Cultural Legal Center	1310	\$	(5,716.69)
71-35099 CDBG Cost Overrun	1310	\$	6,788.99
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Community Development Block Gra	Int		
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	2590	\$	(1,588.90)
71-20099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	1,588.90
71-35060 CDC Program Income	2590	\$	(82,179.67)
71-35099 CDBG Cost overrun	2590	\$	82,179.67
	2390	φ	02,179.07
71-34055 Alliance House	2590	\$	(740.00)
71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	740.00
71-35063 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(1,072.30)
71-35036 Multi-Cultural Legal Center	2590	\$	(5,716.69)
71-35099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	6,788.99
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	inue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp			
be eliminated at the end of the grant			NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are		NA
			NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Establish Budget For Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program Income

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative # D-4

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

These Housing and Urban Development (HUD) CDBG funded programs have received program income totaling \$125,943.61 from re-payment of loans. This request will establish budget for those funds, allowing the program income to be reallocated back into the individual program for recapture or continued programming as per HUD Federal Guidelines.

The three programs include the Utah Heritage Foundation, the Community Development Corporation of Utah and the City's Cleaning and Securing program.

	Establish Budget For Communit Development Block Grant (CDB0	
	Program Income	
	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative # D-4		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Ann Clark / Jennifer Schumann		<u>535-6136/ 535-7276</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
	•	
Total	\$	\$-
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	1305	\$ 1,588.90
71-70631 CDBG Cleaning & Securing	1305	\$ 42,175.04
71-35060 CDC Program Income	1305	\$ 82,179.67
		\$ 125,943.61
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Community Development Block Gra		
71-20015 Utah Heritage Foundation	2590	\$ 1,588.90
71-70631 CDBG Cleaning & Securing	2590	\$ 42,175.04
71-35060 CDC Program Income	2590	\$ 82,179.67
		\$ 125,943.61
		ψ 120,040.01
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	inue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant	1?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant program be complete in grant funding time frame?	
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Library Parking Garage Operations

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-5

Initiative Type:

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

When the FY09-10 budget was first prepared, the Library was operating and managing the parking garage. The City was scheduled to assume operations of the parking garage on July 1, 2009, however, the City had not yet determined if the City would operate and manage the parking garage or have a contractor operate it. The original budgeted revenue and expense was based on the City managing and operating the garage, with all revenue and expense recorded on the City's books. However, it was later decided that the City would contract out the operations.

In accordance with the contract, on a monthly basis the revenue the contractor collects is netted against the contractor's operational expenses and the net is paid. If revenue is more than expense, the contractor issues a check to the City. If expenses exceed revenue, the City issues a check to the contractor. Because of this, the department is requesting a budget amendment to reflect what is actually occurring.

	_ibrary Park	ting Garage Operations	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-5			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>Greg Davis</u>			<u>535-6123</u>
Prepared By		(Negativa)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative)	Positive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
<u>Nevenue impact by runa.</u>		pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
07-00092 1754 Auto Parking	\$	(153,000.00)	
Total	\$	(153,000.00)	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		\$0	<u> </u>
Total		\$0	\$0
		+ -	* -
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
07-00092 Library Parking Structure	1754	\$ (153,000.00)
	1754	\$ (153,000.00)
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
07-00092 Library Parking Structure	2350	\$ (153,000.00)
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to cont	tinue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gran		(Yes or No)
5		
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
g p		(1000100)
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
		(1900)
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or	(Yes or NO)

LIBRARY PARKING GARAGE OPERATIONS AND DEFERRED MAINTENANCE

SUMMARY	FY09-10 Budget No Netting Assumed	FY09-10 Projected Netting Methodology	Change
Revenue	163,000	10,000	(153,000)
Operating expense Mid-year budget modification	182,040 (30,000)	8,040	- (174,000) 30,000
Operating expense	152,040	8,040	(144,000)
Net from operations	10,960	1,960	(9,000)
Deferred Maintenance paid by City	40,000	31,000	- (9,000)
Net Revenue - Expense	(29,040)	(29,040)	-
EXPENSE BUDGET	222.040		
Total expense - original adopted Mid-year amendment	222,040 (30,000)		
Budget amendment #3	(153,000)		
After all amendments	39,040		
	39,040		

Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant Budget Adjustment

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-7

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

The Emergency Management Division received a \$1,476,000 grant from the State of Utah Division of Homeland Security under the UASI program, and was brought into the budget during budget amendment #3

In the budget amendment #3 paperwork, an incorrect object code, 011121, was used which resulted in \$78,750 of the allocation to be coded to cash. This caused a budget deficit of \$78,750, which should have been coded to object code 211101 for salary.

This action will move the \$78,750 from the cash object code and create the budget needed to expend the cash.

Ur	ban Area Security Initiative (UASI Grant Budget Adjustment	
	Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-7		2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total		
Total		\$C
Staffing Impact:		
Staring inpacts		C

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2008-UASI-001 97.067	
Revenue:				
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	Amount	
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	(70,750,00)	
72-10906		011121	\$ (78,750.00)	
72-10906		211101	\$ 78,750.00	
Additional Accounting Details:				
Grant Information:				
Grant funds employee positions?)		NA	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinu	ie?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it of		cted the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?		NA	
Will grant program be complete in	n gra	nt runding time frame?	NA	
 Will grant impact the community	ones	the grant funds are		
eliminated?		lie grant runus are	NA	
Doop grant duplicate convices	- ام این	d by private or		
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	νιαε	a by private or	NA	
	-		INA	
	1		1	1

Barnes Bank Reduction / Reconciliation to Bond Proceeds

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY 2010 Initiative #D-8

Initiative Type: Housekeeping

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

On February 19, 2009 the City issued the series 2009 A Sales Tax Revenues bond to purchase land and construct a maintenance facility complex and purchase a bank building for conversion to additional office space. Prior to bonds issuance budget was approved for \$8,200,000 for the purchase and renovation of the Barnes Bank Building. During the period between the initial budget approval and the bond issuance the purchase of part of the property was budgeted and paid for from Surplus land in the amount of \$939,076.35. Using the Surplus land account enabled the City to comply with bond restrictions and covenants associated with bond issuance. When the bonds were sold, only \$7,260,923.65 was allocated to the Barnes Bank account. The budget needs to be reduced from the \$8,200,000 to \$7,260,923.65 (a difference of \$939,076.35) so that expenditures can not be made in excess of the the \$8,200,000 between the two accounts.

			s Bank Reduct			
		Reconcilia	tion to Bond P	roceeds		
BA#4 FY 2010 Initiative	#D_8				2009-2010	_
Initiative Number	#D-0				Fiscal Year	
Admin Services	I				Housekeeping	
Dept					Type of Initiative	
Gina Chamness	1				535-7766	
Prepared By					Contact Number	
			(Negative)		Positive	
General Fund - Fund Ba	lance-					
Impact						
Revenue Impact By Fun	<u>d:</u>	_	Fiscal Year		Annual	
		Ir	npact Amount		Impact Amount	
General Fund						
	Total			\$0		\$0
Internal Service Fund						
	-			.		<u></u>
	Total			\$0		\$0
Enterprise Fund						
	Total			\$0		\$0
Other Fund						
83-09071		\$	(939,0	76.35)	n/a	
	Tatal	ŕ	(000.0	70.05		<u><u></u><u></u></u>
	Total	\$	(939,0	76.35)		\$0
Staffing Impact:						
Requested Number of				0		0
Position Title:						

Accounting Detail	G	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	 Amount
83-09071		1980	\$ (939,076.35)
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number		Object Code Number	Amount
83-09071		2799-01	\$ (939,076.35)
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	?		(Yes or No)
	·		
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue	?	(Yes or No)
<u></u>			(1000110)
If grant is funding a position is it	expec	ted the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the g		•	(Yes or No)
			· · · · ·
Will grant program be complete	in gran	t funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community	once t	he grant funds are	
eliminated?			(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided	by private or	
		· · · · · ·	
Non-profit sector?			(Yes or NO)

Golf Fund Capital Leasing Expenditure Increase

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-9

Initiative Type:

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

This amendment request will establish both revenue and expense budgets in the Golf Fund for the financing proceeds and use of \$767,000 for equipment purchases. The proceeds will be placed in an escrow account and subsequently used to purchase 25 golf carts and approximately 25 pieces of maintenance equipment. The debt service for this lease-purchase agreement won't begin until next fiscal year.

	Golf Fun	d Capital Leasing Expenditure	2
	<u></u>	Increase	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-9			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>Greg Davis</u>			<u>535-6123</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	- -		
Impact			
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Tota	1	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		\$ 0	
Tota	1	¢0	\$0
		\$0	δU
Enterprise Fund Golf Fund	\$	767 000 00	
Golf Fund	Φ	767,000.00	
Tota	I \$	767,000.00	\$0
Other Fund			· · ·
—			
Tota		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of		0	0
Position Title:			
	+ +		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
59-01000	1895	\$	767,000.00
		· ·	
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
59-01000	2785	\$	767,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to contir	nue?		(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it exp	ected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant?	?		(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in gr			(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community onc	e the grant funds are		
eliminated?			(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services provid	ed by private or		
Non-profit sector?			(Yes or NO)

Interest Earnings on Sales Tax Revenue Bonds and General Obligation Bonds

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10

Initiative Type:

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2007A, were issued in June 2007 for the purpose of funding Salt Lake City's portion of the Grant Tower rail reconfiguration project, and the TRAX Extension project from Energy Solutions Arena to the Intermodal Hub. Bond proceeds held by the Trustee earn interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quarter of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010.

A total of \$8,197 for the Grant Tower project and \$4,579 for the TRAX Extension project has accumulated in each respective fund since the last budget amendment was passed for this purpose.

Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 2009A, were issued in February 2009 to fund Salt Lake City's Public Services Maintenance Facility project, and the Office Building construction project. At the time the bonds were issued the proceeds were deposited with the Trustee. Since then, the proceeds have been earning interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quater of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quaters of fiscal year 2010.

Totals of \$178,015 for the Public Services Maintenance Facility project and \$38,750 for the were

issued.

General Obligation Bonds Series 2009B, were issued in June 2009 to fund Salt Lake City's cost of renovating, improving and preserving the old main library building and providing related facilities located approximately 5th South Street and 2nd East Street to establish a science, culture and art education center known as The Leonardo. At the time the bonds were issued the proceeds were deposited with the Paying Agent. Since then, the unspent proceeds have been earning interest. This amendment is to appropriate interest earned during the last quater of fiscal year 2009 and the first three quaters of fiscal year 2010.

A total of \$52,274 for The Leonardo Project have accumulated since the bonds were issued.

	Revenue	arnings on Sales Tax Bonds and General igation Bonds	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #D-10			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Admin Services			Housekeeping
Deptement Name			Type of Initiative
Dan Mule' / Marina Scott			<u>535-6411 / 535-6565</u>
Prepared By			Contact Number
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>Fiscal Year</u> pact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψ0	ψ0
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Grant Tower Project 83-08009	\$	8,197.00	
TRAX Ext Project 83-08010	\$	4,579.00	
Public Services Maint Facility Project 83-09064	\$	178,015.00	
Office Building Project 83-09071	\$	38,750.00	
The Leonardo Project 83-06081	\$	52,274.00	
Total	\$	281,815.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:		0	0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-08009	1830	\$ 8,197.00
33-08010	1830	\$ 4,579.00
33-09064	1830	\$ 178,015.00
33-09071	1830	\$ 38,750.00
33-06081	1830	\$ 52,274.00
		\$ 281,815.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-08009	2700	\$ 8,197.00
33-08010	2700	\$ 4,579.00
33-09064	2700	\$ 178,015.00
33-09071	2700	\$ 38,750.00
33-06081	2700	\$ 52,274.00
		\$ 281,815.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
33-08009 is the Grant Tower Projec		
33-08010 is the TRAX Extension Pr		
	ntenance Facility Project cost center.	
33-09071 is the Office Building Proje		
33-06081 is The Leonardo Project o	cost center.	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
· · ·		
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	 N/A
f grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	N/A
Will grant program be complete ir	n grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		 N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
		 N/A

State of Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), JAG ARRA - SLC Police In-Car Technology Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-1

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD applied for and received a \$40,000 grant from the State of Utah, CCJJ, under their Department of Justice, Justice Assistance Grant - ARRA Federal Stimulus Block Grant Program.

These funds were awarded to purchase an additional 13 Eticketing program upgrades for the Police Department's Versaterm Computer Aided Dispatch System (CAD) and Records Management System. The City recently received Department of Justice JAG grants to initiate the Eticketing program. This \$40,000 grant received will purchase an additional 13 programs providing the program to 285 first responders.

The program will enable SLC officers to electronically fill and submit citations from their vehicles and print a copy of the citation for the motorist. The submission of the citation will be electronically submitted to the Records Division, where it will be processed and submitted to the court in a method that is completed in minutes. The automated process is estimated to provide employee savings of 6,100 hours annually.

	<u>on Crir</u> Justice (Utah Commission ninal and Juvenile (CCJJ), JAG ARRA-	
		<u>C Police in Car</u> hnology Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-1			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>Fiscal Year</u> pact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total			
Enterprise Fund		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ΨΟ	ψ0
72	\$	40,000.00	
	Ψ	+0,000.00	
Total	\$	40,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	9AR59 - 16.04	
Revenue:				
Cost Center Nu	umber	Object Code Number	Amount	
72 New Cost Center		1370	\$ 40,000	0.00
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Nu	umber	Object Code Number	Amount	
72 New Cost Center		2590	\$ 40,000	0.00
		2000	\$ 10,000	
Additional Accountin	a Details:			
Additional Accountin	g Details.			
Pass through grant fro	m the Departmen	t of Justice		
Grant Information:				
Grant funds employed	e nositione?		No	
Grant runus employe		+		
la thora a natantial fa	r grant to contin	102	No	
Is there a potential fo			INO	
If grant is funding a p		cted the position will		
be eliminated at the e	end of the grant?		NA	
Will grant program be	e complete in gra	nt funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the	community once	the grant funds are		
eliminated?			Yes	
Does grant duplicate	services provide	d by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No	

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security -Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-2

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department applied for and received \$321,221 from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, under the FEMA Homeland Security Metropolitan Medical Response (MMRS) grant program. This grant is awarded on an annual basis to jurisdictions across the County to plan and implement a medical response mechanism in the event of a mass casualty or a weapons of mass destruction terrorist attack. The SLC Fire Department is the lead agency partnering with local health, police, fire, hospitals, ambulance and other agencies who make up the MMRS team.

\$169,200 of these funds will be used to purchase equipment. Equipment includes **\$96,000** to purchase software licenses, barcode readers and computers for the Emergency Patient Tracking System (EPTS) for the Emergency Medical Services, Emergency Operation Centers and hospitals; \$35,000 to purchase additional full face air purifier respirators, N-95 masks, and CBRNE detectors/dosimeters for first responder agencies; and \$15,000 to purchase license and equipment for amateur radio emergency services (ARES) ham radio upgrades at hospitals and EOCs.

\$10,000 will be used for required travel to regional and national training and workshops by MMRS Steering Committee and coordinators.

\$92,021 will be used to pay for the Doctor contracted by the Fire Department who provides pharmaceutical oversight as is State regulated in order for the Fire Department to disburse pharmaceuticals and for clerical duties of personnel assigned to the Emergency Management Division to provide grant related management.

\$50,000 of the grant funding will be used to plan and coordinate MMRS activities, drills and grant preparation as is required by the grant regulations.

No match is required.

	afety, Div Metrop	Utah, Department of Publi vision of Homeland Securi politan Medical Response ystem (MMRS) Grant	ty -
DA#4 EV2040 Initiative #E 2		Initiative Name	2000 40
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-2 Initiative Number			2009-10 Fiscal Year
Fire Department			Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			<u>799-4210 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		**	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
		* 2	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		201 201 00	
72	\$	321,221.00	
Total	\$	321,221.00	\$0
		02 1,22 1100	
Staffing Impact:			·
Requested Number of FTE's:			(
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	09-SHSP-MMRS-001 - 97.06
Revenue:	Grant # and Gr DA # II Applicable.	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 321,221.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 321,221.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Dotallo.		
US Department of Homeland Securit	y Pass through	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra		Yes
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
wini grant program be complete m		165
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No
· ·		

National Association of VOCA Assistance Administrators Grant - PD Nation Crime Victims' Right Week Community Awareness Project

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-3

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD applied for and received a \$5,000 grant from the National Association of VOCA Assistance Administrators, under their Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs.

The SLCPD Public Relations Unit and the Victim Advocate Program will coordinate a week of public awareness activities during National Crime Victims Rights Week, with a different event held each day of that week. Events include an interfaith candlelight event to raise awareness of the spiritual, physical and emotional impact that crime leaves on community members; a proclamation will be jointly issued by the Mayor's Office and the PD Chief and new informational brochures regarding the Utah Victims' Bill of Rights and the Utah Constitutional Amendment for Victims Rights will be introduced; survivors, staff from Victim Advocate Programs and the Public Relations Unit with others will participate in a radio program to discuss the rights of victims of crime. Information will also be provided regarding statewide resources available to victims; survivors guilts will be unveiled at various public locations to include victim service providers offices, government buildings, offender treatment facilitates, etc.; a tree ceremony will be held and members will be invited to tie on a ribbon to represent the impact crime had on their lives; primary crime victims and secondary survivors will be invited to join victim advocates from various community and government programs to participate in a Honk and Wave event; and a keynote speaker will address participants at a community event to discuss victims' rights. Promotional items and brochures will be provided to the community during each event.

The funding will be used to purchase materials for quilts, printed signs, brochure printing costs, Tshirts for the honk and wave event, promotional give away items such as lip balm, water bottles, keychains, etc., snacks and beverages and supplies.

	Nation	al Association of	
	<u>VO(</u> Administr	CA Assitance rators - PD National ctims Rights Week	
		<u>Grant</u>	
	Ir	nitiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-3			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		iscal Year	Annual
	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψυ	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
		*	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund	¢	E 000 00	
72	\$	5,000.00	
Total	\$	5,000.00	\$0
	Ψ	0,000.00	
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of			0
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	10-127 - 16.582
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 5,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 5,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Pass through grant from the Depa	rtment of Justice,	
Office of Justice Assistance		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions		No
		N
Is there a potential for grant to co		No
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the g		NA
be eminiated at the end of the g		NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
		162
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	<u> </u>
eliminated?		NA
	avided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or	Na
Non-profit sector?		No

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety Homeland Security - Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) - 2010 LEPC Hazardous Materials Planning Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-4

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Emergency Management Services Division applied for and received a \$3,200 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety under the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness (HMEP) program. It is awarded to local jurisdictions to perform hazard analysis and develop or update local emergency plans, including integrating the SLC LEPC plans and activities into the Region II Hazmat and emergency response planning, create community awareness programs for hazardous materials, integrate local LEPC planning into the community, provide or attend trainings and exercises to support Utah Region II hazardous materials planning, perform needs assessments for early alert and warning systems and integrate the hazardous materials transportation and storage into all hazards emergency management and homeland security activities. The City receives this grant on an annual basis from the State.

The SLC LEPC holds monthly meetings which have an educational component to benefit the attendees along with a lessons learned information sharing segment. LEPC attendees include the U of U, Salt Lake Valley Health Department, Salt Lake County, Salt Lake City School District, State Home Land Security, Thather, Tesoro, SLC PD, Fire and other local agencies and companies.

The grant requires a \$800.00 match which will be satisfied with the Emergency Program Directors time which is budgeted for within the Departments general fund budget.

	Safety Home Emergency (LEPC) - 20	, Department of Publi eland Security - Local Planning Committee 110 LEPC Hazardous	
	1	Is Planning Grant	
	Ir	nitiative Name	0000 /0
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-4	_		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
nergency Management Services			Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Cory Lyman / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7173 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	((Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		iscal Year	Annual
	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Tatal		<u>фо</u>	
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ψ0	ψυ
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	3,200.00	
Total	\$	3,200.00	\$0
<u>Staffing Impact</u> Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	HLS 2010-LEPC-SLC -20.703
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 1370	Amount \$ 3,200.00
	1370	\$ 3,200.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number 72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2590	Amount 3,200.00
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Additional Accounting Details:		
Pass through from US Department of T	Fransportation to State	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to conti		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant	Pected the position will	Yes
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	Yes
		165
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality - ARRA, SLC Digester Covers

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-5

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Public Utilities Department has been awarded a 100% principal forgiveness loan of \$150,000 under the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality, American Recovery And Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("Recovery Act") Nonpoint Source Grant program.

This project will replace three buoyant 95 foot diameter anaerobic digester covers with buoyant covers specifically designed for additional storage and complete containment of digester gas at increased pressures. The project will improve the City's ability to conserve energy and natural resources by maximizing its use of digester biogas with modern technologies. It is expected that the project will save an estimated 190,000 kWh power and 800 Million BTU heat per year and will eliminate approximately 600 tons per yr of emissions in CO2 equivalent methane.

In April of 2009, The Utah Water Quality Board allocated \$6,920,000 to Public Utilities for this project. The \$150,000 is an additional amount allocated for the same project scope.

F		Utah, Department of tal Quality - ARRA - SL	c
-		gester Covers	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-5			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Utilities			Grants for Existing Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
leff Neirmeyer / Sherrie Collins			<u>483-6785 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact		None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	In	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		npuot Amount	impaot Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	150,000.00	
Total	\$	150,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Datail	Cropt # and CEDA # If Applicable	66 AEA State Contract
Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	66.454 - State Contract
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 150,000.00
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	2700	\$ 150,000.00
12 New Cost Center	2700	\$ 150,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
State Pass Through from Environm	ontal Protection Agency	
ARRA Funding		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?	?	No
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	No

State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources 2009 Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-6

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Transportation Division of CED applied for and received a \$100,000. Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant from the State of Utah Department of Natural Resources. These funds were awarded for the restoration and completion of approximately 5,400 lineal feet of the Jordan River Parkway Trail between Redwood Road and the Salt Lake City/Davis County boundary. This is the final section of the trail to be completed. The planned project includes grading, constructing a ten foot wide paved pedestrian/bicycle path with a five foot soft trail for equestrian recreation, making improvements to the existing river bridges, new railing and constructing an elevated rail system over wetland areas. Of the \$100,000 of grant funds, the City will receive \$92,307.69. The State will retain \$7,692.31 for their grant administrative fees.

The Transpiration Division applied for \$322,600 with a commitment of a 50% match of \$322,600. A Resolution was passed In February by the City Council which demonstrated the City's commitment to submit the grant application and meet the required match.

This request will provide budget within the 72 grant fund for the City to accept the \$92,307.69. The required match amount of \$100,000 will be met by funds previoulsy allocated to the CIP for trails.

	State of Litak	n, Department of Natu	ral
		2009 Land and Wate	
		vation Fund Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-6			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
an Bergenthal / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7106 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative)	Positive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	In	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		ድጋ	
Enterprise Fund		\$0	\$(
Total		\$0	\$(
Other Fund			
72	\$	92,307.69	
83			
Total	\$	92,307.69	\$0
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of			
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue:	Object Code Number	A res =
Cost Center Number 72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 1370	Amount
	1370	\$ 92,307.6
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number 72 New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2700	Amount \$ 92,307.6
		φ 02,007.0
Additional Accounting Details:		
Pass through from US Department of	Transportation to State	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it es be eliminated at the end of the gran		No
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	No
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	· · ·	No

State of Utah, Certified Local Government (CLG) Program, Intensive Level Survey Historic Preservation Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The State of Utah, Department of Community and Culture awarded the Salt Lake City Planning Division a \$40,700 Certified Local Government grant to begin the process of a reconnaissance-level survey (RLS), and national register nominations of the Liberty Wells and Westmoreland Neighborhoods and provide an inventory and history of Liberty Park, Memory Grove Park and Fairmont Park and refine the history of Reservoir Park.

Of these funds, \$18,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to complete the RLS for the Liberty Wells Neighborhood and expand the National Register for Historic Preservation (NRHP) district within the Liberty Wells Neighborhood; \$5,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to complete an RLS and NHRP district nomination for the Westmoreland Neighborhood; \$5,000 will be used to hire a professional consultant to prepare the Liberty Park history, the Memory Grove and Fairmont Parks inventory and history, and refine the history of Reservoir Park; \$4,700 will be used for supplies, postage and news announcements pertaining to the nominations; and \$8,000 will be used for 3 planning personnel to attend the National Trust Perservation Conference.

The grant requires a \$40,700 match which will be satisfied with the Preservation Planning Staff time and is budgeted for within the Planning Division's budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Certified Local Government Grant Agreements and to receive any other donations or grants that stem from the original Agreement.

	<u>Gov</u> Le	State of Utah, Certified Local ernment (CLG) Program, Intensi vel Survey Historic Preservation Grant	ive_ n_
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Janice Lew / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7265/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	•	None	
Impact			
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		Fiscal Year Impact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		A (0.700.00)	
72		\$ 40,700.00	
Total		\$ 40,700.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	TBD	
Revenue:	-		-	
Cost Center Num	ber	Object Code Number	Amount	
72 New Cost Center		1370	\$ 47,000.00	
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Num	nber	Object Code Number	 Amount	
72 New Cost Center		2590	\$ 47,000.00	
Additional Accounting	Details:			
Additional According				
Grant Information:				L
Grant funds employee	positions?		No	
Is there a potential for g	grant to continu	ie?	Yes	
If grant is funding a pos		cted the position will	 	
be eliminated at the end	d of the grant?		NA	
Will grant program be o	complete in gra	nt funding time frame?	 Yes	<u> </u>
Will grant impact the co	ommunity once	the grant funds are	~	
eliminated?			Yes	
Does grant duplicate se	ervices provide	d by private or		<u> </u>
Non-profit sector?			No	

US Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Fire Prevention Safety Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-8

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department received a \$133,000 grant from the US Federal Emergency Management Agency to purchase 19 apparatus mounted direct capture and diesel exhaust extraction systems for the City's 19 front line apparatus. The vehicle mounted units will provide direct capture of diesel fumes and particulates and replace the existing building mounted systems in 14 stations where fire personnel sleep.

The current building mounted exhaust systems are aging and of the 14 stations, most of the systems fail to operate. Firefighters use fans and open bay doors to move diesel exhaust out of the building. The cost to replace the building mounted exhaust systems is approximately \$350,000 for all 14 stations. The cost of purchasing the apparatus mounted exhaust for 19 vehicles is \$166,250, or a 47% savings. The Airport Fire installed vehicle mounted diesel exhaust units on their apparatus in 2005. Fleet Management reports that the units have been repair free for the past four years with annual filter replacement. Annual filter replacement would be approximately \$200 per unit.

The grant required match of \$33,250 will be satisfied within the Fire Departments general fund maintenance budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Federal Emergency Management Agency grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		I Emergency Manageme MA) Fire Prevention Safe	
		<u>Grant</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #E-8			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Fire Department			Donation
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			<u>799-4210 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		(Negotivo)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative)	Positive
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
		•	-
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ψυ	
72	\$	133,000.00	
	•	,	
Total	\$	133,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	TBD	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72	1360	\$ 133,000.00	
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
77	2590	\$ 133,000.00	
	2000	¢ 100,000.00	
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Federal Grant -			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		NA	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA	
Will grant program be complete ir	n grant funding time frame?	NA	
grant program we complete in			
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
		NA	
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		No	

Utah Hospital Association Donation

Initiative Number:

BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #F-1

Donations

Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department received a \$93,000 donation from the Utah Hospital Association to purchase eleven, 12-Lead cardiac monitoring devices. These monitors will be used in combination with Fire's current cardiac monitors by the Department's trained paramedics to provide additional life saving opportunities. The cardiac monitors and software will provide pre-hospital screening of heart patients allowing the hospital to minimize time spent providing additional cardiac monitoring when the patient arrives at the hospital. Currently the paramedics verbally transfer patient information to the hospital via phones. The monitors will electronically send the information allowing the hospital to monitor the patient's information from the cardiac monitoring equipment the paramedics use on scene. This will reduce the time required before a patient receives the advanced life saving capabilities the hospital can provide.

The hospital association is providing these awards to many local fire departments because it has been proven these monitors improve the quality of treatment provided and saves lives.

This request is to provide budget in the Fire Departments Trust so that the donation can be accepted and the equipment purchased.

L	Jtah Hospit	al Association Donati	on
		Initiative Name	
BA#4 FY2010 Initiative #F-1			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Fire Department			Donation
Department John Vuyk / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 799-4210 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Hoguino)	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	In	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund		ψŪ	φυ
Total		\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund			
Tatal		¢0	
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$C
77	\$	93,000.00	
	Ψ	55,000.00	
Total	\$	93,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	Private Funding Source
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77 New Cost Center	1895	\$ 93,000.00
Expondituro		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 93,000.00
77 New Cost Center	2590	\$ 93,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Jan State St		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No